arXiv:1302.6576v1 [math.SG] 26 Feb 2013

ORDERABILITY, CONTACT NON-SQUEEZING, AND RABINOWITZ FLOER HOMOLOGY

PETER ALBERS AND WILL J. MERRY

Abstract. We study Liouville fillable contact manifolds with non-zero Rabinowitz Floer homology and assign spectral invariants to paths of contactomorphisms. As a consequence we prove all such contact manifolds are orderable in the sense of Eliashberg and Polterovich. If the contact manifold is in addition periodic or a prequantization space $M \times S^1$ for M a Liouville manifold, then we construct a contact capacity and define a bi-invariant metric on the group of contactomorphisms. This can be used to obtain elements of infinite order in fundamental group of the contactomorphism group. We also deduce the existence of infinitely many iterated translated points in the sense of Sandon [San12b] for negative contactomorphisms whose Hofer norm is smaller than the minimal period of a contractible (in the filling) Reeb orbit. Finally we prove a general non-squeezing result, which amongst other examples in particular recovers the beautiful non-squeezing results from [EKP06].

1. Introduction and results

Suppose (Σ, ξ) is a closed coorientable contact manifold. Denote by $\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ the identity component of the group of contactomorphisms, and denote by $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ the set of smooth paths of contactomorphisms starting at the identity. The universal cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ is then $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)/\sim$, where $\sim$ denotes the equivalence relation of being homotopic with fixed endpoints. Suppose $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$ is a contact form defining ξ, and θ^t its Reeb flow. To a path $\{\varphi_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1} \in \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ we can associate its contact Hamiltonian h_t

$$h_t \circ \varphi_t := \alpha\left(\frac{d}{dt}\varphi_t\right) : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.1}$$

which uniquely determines the path φ. In this article we are be interested in three classes of contact manifolds, labelled **(A)**, **(B)**, and **(C)**. See Sections 1.1 and 1.2 below for the other two, and Section 2 for precise definitions of the terms involved.

(A): (Σ, ξ) admits a Liouville filling W such that the Rabinowitz Floer homology $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\Sigma, W)$ is non-zero.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose (Σ, ξ) satisfies Assumption* **(A)**. *Then there is map $c : \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi) \to \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties*

1. *If $\varphi \sim \psi$ then $c(\varphi) = c(\psi)$. Thus c descends to define a map $c : \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi) \to \mathbb{R}$.*
2. *For any $T \in \mathbb{R}$, $c(t \mapsto \theta^{tT}) = -T$ and thus $c(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma) = 0$.*
3. *The map c is Lipschitz continuous with respect to the C^0-norm on $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$, and the following triangle inequality is satisfied:*

$$c(\varphi\psi) \le c(\varphi) + c(\psi), \tag{1.2}$$

where $\varphi\psi$ denotes the path $t \mapsto \varphi_t\psi_t$.

(4) *If φ is generated by a contact Hamiltonian h_t with $h_t < 0$ then $c(\varphi) > 0$. Similarly if $h_t > 0$ then $c(\varphi) < 0$.*
(5) *If one only assumes that $h_t(\varphi_t(x)) < 0$ whenever $\varphi_t(x) \neq x$ then one still has $c(\varphi) > 0$, and moreover $c(\varphi^{\nu+1}) > c(\varphi^\nu)$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. However if $h_t(\varphi_t(x)) > 0$ whenever $\varphi_t(x) \neq x$ then in general one only has $c(\varphi) \leq 0$.*

Remark 1.2. Note that in general replacing α with $-\alpha$ changes the sign of the contact Hamiltonian h_t. However in general if (Σ, α) is Liouville fillable then there is no canonical Liouville filling of $(\Sigma, -\alpha)$, and thus for our purposes the distinction between positive and negative is not arbitrary. A good non-trivial example to bear in mind is $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}P^3$, with ξ the contact structure induced from from the standard contact structure on S^3. Then Σ is Liouville fillable with $W = T^*S^2$, and $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\mathbb{R}P^3, T^*S^2)$ is infinite dimensional (cf. [AS09, CFO10]). However we can also view $\mathbb{R}P^3$ as a prequantization space over S^2, which corresponds to replacing α with $-\alpha$. Indeed, in this case the completion W is the total space of $\mathcal{O}(2) \to S^2$, as opposed to T^*S^2 which is the total space of $\mathcal{O}(-2) \to S^2$.

We state now several immediate corollaries of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.3. Recall that Eliashberg and Polterovich in [EP00] introduced the concept of *orderability* of (Σ, ξ) (more precisely, of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$) and proved the following characterization [EP00, Section 2.1]:

Proposition 1.3. *(Σ, ξ) is non-orderable if and only if there exists a contractible loop φ whose contact Hamiltonian h_t is strictly positive.*

The following result includes the orderability results of [EKP06] as special cases.

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose (Σ, ξ) satisfies Assumption* **(A)**. *Then (Σ, ξ) is orderable.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.3 it suffices to show that there does not exist a contractible loop $\varphi = \{\varphi_t\}_{t \in S^1} \subseteq \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ with $\varphi_0 = \varphi_1 = \mathrm{id}_\Sigma$ whose contact Hamiltonian h_t is strictly positive. Suppose such a loop φ exists. Then part (3) of Theorem 1.1 asserts that $c(\varphi) < 0$. However $c(\varphi) = c(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma)$, and by part (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.1. ☐

Corollary 1.5. *If (Σ, ξ) is non-orderable and Liouville fillable then the Rabinowitz Floer homology or the symplectic homology of any its Liouville fillings vanishes.*

The last statement follows as the Rabinowitz Floer homology $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\Sigma, W)$ vanishes if and only if the symplectic homology $\mathrm{SH}_*(W)$ vanishes – see [CFO10, Rit13]. Moreover the non-vanishing of the Rabinowitz Floer homology is these days now easy to verify in many cases. As an example, take $(S^{2n-1}, \xi_{\mathrm{st}})$ which is non-orderable [EKP06, Theorem 1.10]. Corollary 1.5 for $\Sigma = S^{2n-1}$ has been proved before by Smith – see [Sei06].

Corollary 1.6. *Suppose (Σ, ξ) satisfies Assumption* **(A)**. *Then if φ is a loop satisfying either $c(\varphi) < 0$ (e.g. if the contact Hamiltonian h_t of φ is strictly positive[1]), or if the contact Hamiltonian h_t satisfies $h_t(\varphi_t(x)) < 0$ whenever $\varphi_t(x) \neq x$, then φ is of infinite order in $\pi_1(\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi))$.*

Proof. If $c(\varphi) < 0$ then by the triangle inequality one has $c(\varphi^\nu) \leq \nu c(\varphi) < 0$. Thus φ^ν cannot be contractible for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly if $h_t(\varphi_t(x)) < 0$ whenever $\varphi_t(x) \neq x$ then part (5) of Theorem 1.1 shows that φ^n can never be contractible for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. ☐

---

[1] Although note if $h_t > 0$ then the assertion that φ is of infinite order follows directly from orderability.

Definition 1.7. Suppose $U \subset \Sigma$ is open. We define

$$c(U) := \sup \{c(\varphi) \mid \mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subset U\}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) := \bigcup_{0 \le t \le 1} \overline{\{x \in \Sigma \mid \varphi_t(x) \ne x\}}$ denotes the *support* of φ.

The quantity $c(U)$ is *not* a contact invariant, that is, it is not necessarily the case that $c(\psi(U)) = c(U)$ for every $\psi \in \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$. We will see in Section 1.1 below that if the Reeb flow is periodic then it is possible to define a related number $\bar{c}(U)$ which *is* a contact invariant, and this is where many of applications will lie. Nevertheless, it is still possible to extract some interesting information in this setting, as the following result and Proposition 1.10 below shows.

Proposition 1.8. *Suppose (Σ, ξ) satisfies Assumption* **(A)**. *Then:*

1. $c(U) > 0$ *for any non-empty open set* U, *and* $c(\Sigma) = \infty$.
2. *If* $U \subset V$ *are open sets then*
$$c(U) \le c(V). \tag{1.4}$$
3. *If* $U \subset \Sigma$ *is an open set with the property that there exists* $\psi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ *with the property that*
$$\psi(U) \cap \left(\bigcup_{t \in \mathrm{R}} \theta^t(U) \right) = \emptyset \tag{1.5}$$
then for any $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ *satisfying* $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subset U$ *one has*
$$0 \le c(\varphi) \le c(\psi) + c(\psi^{-1}), \tag{1.6}$$
and thus in particular $c(U) < \infty$.

Definition 1.9. Let us denote by

$$\wp_W(\Sigma, \alpha) := \inf \{T > 0 \mid \exists \text{ a closed contractible (in } W\text{) Reeb orbit of } \alpha \text{ of period } T > 0\}.$$

If there are no contractible closed Reeb orbits we set $\wp_W(\Sigma, \alpha) := \infty$. Following Sandon [San12b, Theorem 3.1], we can prove the following result (see Definitions 2.4, 2.5, and 2.15 for the relevent terms).

Proposition 1.10. *Suppose (Σ, ξ) satisfies Assumption* **(A)** *and $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ satisfies $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subset U$ and assume that the contact Hamiltonian h_t of Σ satisfies $h_t(\varphi_t(x)) < 0$ whenever $\varphi_t(x) \ne x$. Assume that either:*

1. *One has* $\|h\|_{\mathrm{osc}} < \wp_W(\Sigma, \alpha)$ *and* $c(U) < \infty$.
2. *There exists* $\psi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ *with* $\psi(U) \cap \left(\bigcup_{t \in \mathrm{R}} \theta^t(U)\right) = \emptyset$ *and* $\|\psi\| < \wp_W(\Sigma, \alpha)$.

Then φ has infinitely many geometrically distinct iterated translated points which are contractible in the Liouville filling $(W, d\lambda)$.

Remark 1.11. Sandon [San12b] proved this result for $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ and $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1$ for open sets U with compact closure. Neither of these examples satisfy Assumption **(A)**. However our proof also works (cf. Corollary 1.27) when (Σ, ξ) is a prequantization space $M \times S^1$. Taking $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ recovers Sandon's result.

If there are no closed contractible Reeb orbits then both Conditions (1) and (2) in Proposition 1.10 are vacuous, and in this case one has that if φ satisfies $h_t(\varphi_t(x)) < 0$ whenever $\varphi_t(x) \neq x$, and $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi)$ is contained in an open set U with $c(U) < \infty$, then φ has infinitely many geometrically distinct iterated contractible translated points. In fact, if there are no contractible closed Reeb orbits then we can prove the following additional result.

Proposition 1.12. *Suppose* (Σ, ξ) *satisfies Assumption* **(A)** *and that there are no closed contractible Reeb orbits. Then the value of* $c(\varphi)$ *depends only on* φ_1*. Thus the map* $c : \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi) \to \mathbb{R}$ *descends to* $\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$*.*

Remark 1.13. Let us note that in the presence of contractible Reeb orbits Proposition 1.12 is clearly not true. For instance, in the next section we study contact manifolds with periodic Reeb flow θ^t (see Assumption **(B)** below). In this case one has $\theta^\nu = \mathrm{id}_\Sigma$ for each $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, but $c(t \mapsto \theta^{t\nu}) = \nu$.

1.1. **Periodic contact manifolds.**

Definition 1.14. A closed coorientable contact manifold (Σ, ξ) is called *periodic* if there exists a contact form α for ξ such that the Reeb flow θ^t of α is 1-periodic

$$\theta^1 = \theta^0 = \mathrm{id}_\Sigma. \tag{1.7}$$

In this Section the standing Assumption **(B)** that we will make is the following strengthening of Assumption **(A)**.

(B): (Σ, ξ) admits a Liouville filling $(W, d\lambda)$ such that the Rabinowitz Floer homology $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\Sigma, W)$ is non-zero and such that $\alpha := \lambda|_\Sigma$ is periodic.

Remark 1.15. Examples of periodic contact manifolds are unit cotangent bundles $S^*_g B$ where g is a Riemannian metric on B all of whose geodesics are closed (e.g.. $B = S^n$, g the round metric). Another class of examples arise by taking *prequantization spaces* of Liouville manifolds. For this, one starts with a Liouville manifold $(M, d\gamma)$. Then we equip $\Sigma := M \times S^1$ with the contact form $\alpha := \gamma + d\tau$, where τ is the coordinate on S^1. We will study this latter setting in depth – see Assumption **(C)** below.

An immediate consequence of Corollary 1.6 is the following.

Corollary 1.16. *Suppose* (Σ, ξ) *satisfies Assumption* **(B)**. *Then the Reeb flow* θ^1 *is of infinite order in* $\pi_1(\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi))$.

The key extra piece of information one gains by working with periodic contact manifolds is that in this case the spectral numbers are (almost) *conjugation invariant.* More precisely, following Sandon [San10] we define a function $\overline{c} : \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi) \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\overline{c}(\varphi) := \lceil c(\varphi) \rceil . \tag{1.8}$$

Proposition 1.17. *Suppose* (Σ, ξ) *satisfies Assumption* **(B)**. *Then* $\overline{c}$ *is conjugation invariant under the natural action of* $\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ *on its universal cover. Moreover* $\overline{c}$ *continues to satisfy the triangle inequality* $\overline{c}(\varphi\psi) \leq \overline{c}(\varphi) + \overline{c}(\psi)$.

Definition 1.18. We define $\gamma : \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by

$$\gamma(\varphi) := |\overline{c}(\varphi)| + \left|\overline{c}(\varphi^{-1})\right|, \tag{1.9}$$

and $\hat{\gamma} : \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by

$$\hat{\gamma}(\vartheta) := \inf \Big\{ \gamma(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi),\ \varphi_1 = \vartheta \Big\} . \tag{1.10}$$

Since $\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ is a *simple* group [Ryb10] and $\hat{\gamma}^{-1}(0)$ is a normal subgroup (by conjugation invariance of γ), the function $\hat{\gamma}$ induces a bi-invariant metric on $\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$.

Theorem 1.19. *Define*

$$\mathrm{d}_{\hat{\gamma}} : \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi) \times \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \tag{1.11}$$

by

$$\mathrm{d}_{\hat{\gamma}}(\varphi,\psi) = \hat{\gamma}(\varphi\psi^{-1}). \tag{1.12}$$

Then $\mathrm{d}_{\hat{\gamma}}$ *is a bi-invariant metric on* $\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$. *Similarly if one defines*

$$\mathrm{d}_{\gamma} : \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi) \times \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \tag{1.13}$$

in the same way, but using γ *instead of* $\hat{\gamma}$, *then* d_γ *is a bi-invariant pseudo-metric on* $\widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ *whose degeneracy locus is the (possibly empty) set of non-contractible loops* $\varphi = \{\varphi_t\}_{t\in S^1}$ *in* $\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ *with* $c(\varphi) = c(\varphi^{-1}) = 0$.

Remark 1.20. Bi-invariants metrics have been defined before by Sandon [San10] on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}\times S^1$, by Zapolsky [Zap13] on $T^*B\times S^1$ and Fraser-Polterovich-Rosen [FPR12] for a certain class of periodic contact manifolds and Colin-Sandon [CS12] for all contact manifolds. It is an interesting question what the precise relationship is between their metrics and ours. It is still possible to define γ and $\hat{\gamma}$ under only Assumption **(A)**. Nevertheless in this case $\mathrm{d}_{\hat{\gamma}}$ is not bi-invariant, and $\hat{\gamma}^{-1}(0)$ is no longer a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$.

Remark 1.21. Note that the metric is always unbounded as $\mathrm{d}_{\hat{\gamma}}(\mathrm{id},\{t\mapsto\theta^{\nu t}\}) = \nu$ for any $\nu\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 1.22. Here is an example where the metric d_γ is degenerate. Take $\Sigma = S^*\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{T}^3$. Let $\varphi_t : \Sigma\to\Sigma$ denote the lift of a full rotation of $\mathbb{T}^2$. This is a non-contractible loop. Since in this case Σ has no contractible Reeb orbits, Proposition 1.12 implies that $c(\varphi) = c(\varphi^{-1}) = 0$, and hence $\mathrm{d}_\gamma(\varphi,\mathrm{id}_\Sigma) = 0$.

As in Definition 1.7, we define the quantity $\bar{c}(U)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $U\subset\Sigma$ open by

$$\bar{c}(U) := \sup\left\{\bar{c}(\varphi) \mid \mathfrak{S}(\varphi)\subset U\right\}. \tag{1.14}$$

Clearly Proposition 1.8 continues to hold for $\bar{c}$, and in particular $\bar{c}(U)\geq 1$ for any non-empty open set $U\subset\Sigma$. However the key point is that in this setting we can prove rather more. Namely, $\bar{c}$ is a *contact capacity*, that is (1.15), a notion that was first introduced by Sandon in [San11].

Theorem 1.23. *Suppose* (Σ,ξ) *satisfies Assumption* **(B)**. *Let* $U\subset\Sigma$ *be open:*

(1) $\bar{c}$ *is a* contact capacity*: that is, for all* $\psi\in\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$, *one has*

$$\bar{c}(\psi(U)) = \bar{c}(U). \tag{1.15}$$

(2) *If there exists* $\psi\in\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ *with* $\psi(\overline{U})\cap\overline{U} = \emptyset$ *then* $\bar{c}(U)\leq\bar{c}(\psi)+\bar{c}(\psi^{-1})<\infty$.

Remark 1.24. Note that part (2) of Theorem 1.23 allows us to slightly improve Proposition 1.10. Namely, if in Proposition 1.10 if we assume Assumption **(B)** holds then condition (2) can be replaced with the assertion that $\psi(\overline{U})\cap\overline{U}=\emptyset$ and $\|\psi\| < 1 = \wp(\Sigma,\alpha)$.

An application of Theorem 1.23 is the following *abstract non-squeezing* result.

Corollary 1.25. *Suppose (Σ, ξ) satisfies Assumption* **(B)**. *Let $U \subset V \subset \Sigma$ be open sets and assume that there exists $\varphi \in \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ with $\varphi(V) \subset U$. Then*

$$\overline{c}(U) = \overline{c}(V). \tag{1.16}$$

In particular, if $\overline{c}(U) < \overline{c}(V)$ then there exists no contact isotopy mapping V into U.

Proof. Suppose φ is as in the statement of the Corollary. Then by part (2) of Proposition 1.8 we have $\overline{c}(U) \le \overline{c}(V)$ and $\overline{c}(\varphi(V)) \le \overline{c}(U)$. By part (2) of Theorem 1.23 we also have $\overline{c}(\varphi(V)) = \overline{c}(V)$, and hence $\overline{c}(U) = \overline{c}(V)$ as claimed. ☐

1.2. **Prequantization spaces.** Fix a Liouville manifold $(M, d\gamma)$ (i.e. the completion of Liouville domain, cf. Definition 2.1). The *prequantization space* of M is the contact manifold $\Sigma := M \times S^1$, equipped with the contact structure $\xi := \ker \alpha$, where

$$\alpha := \gamma + d\tau, \tag{1.17}$$

where τ is the coordinate on $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. These contact manifolds are the third type we study in this paper:

- **(C):** $(\Sigma, \xi = \ker \zeta)$ is a prequantization space $\Sigma = M \times S^1$, where $(M, d\gamma)$ is a Liouville manifold, and $\alpha = \gamma + d\tau$.

Let P_0 denote a torus with a small disc removed, so that $\partial P_0 = S^1$. Equip P_0 with an exact symplectic form $d\beta_0$ such that $\beta_0|_{\partial P_0} = d\tau$. Let $(P, d\beta)$ denote the completion of the Liouville domain $(P_0, d\beta_0)$, and consider

$$W := M \times P,$$

equipped with the symplectic form $d\lambda$ where $\lambda := \gamma + \beta$. Even though Σ is periodic, W is *not* a Liouville filling of Σ, and in fact Σ does not satisfy either Assumptions **(A)** or **(B)** - for instance, Σ is non-compact. Nevertheless, it is still possible to define the Rabinowitz Floer homology $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\Sigma, W)$, and we prove that

$$\mathrm{RFH}_*(\Sigma, W) \cong \mathrm{HF}_*(M) \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(S^1; \mathbb{Z}_2), \tag{1.18}$$

where $\mathrm{HF}_*(M) \cong \mathrm{H}^{n-*}(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ denotes the Hamiltonian Floer homology of M, defined using *compactly supported* Hamiltonians (see Frauenfelder-Schlenk [FS07]).

Since the Hamiltonian Floer homology is non-zero, one can associate a spectral number $c_M(f)$ to any $f \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Ham}}_c(M, d\gamma)$, the universal cover of the group of compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms (see eg. Schwarz [Sch00] or Frauenfelder-Schlenk [FS07]) . As in the contact case described above, c_M can then be used to define a *symplectic capacity* $c_M(\mathcal{O})$ for $\mathcal{O} \subset M$ open, by setting

$$c_M(\mathcal{O}) := \sup \{ c_M(f) \mid \mathfrak{S}(f) \subset \mathcal{O} \}. \tag{1.19}$$

Remark 1.26. The proof that $c_M(f)$ is invariant under conjugation, that is, $c_M(hfh^{-1}) = c_M(f)$ for $f \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Ham}}_c(M, d\gamma)$ and $h \in \mathrm{Symp}_c(M, d\gamma)$ is immediate, since in this case the action spectrum of hfh^{-1} is the same as the action spectrum of f (see for instance [HZ94, Chapter 5, Proposition 7]). This in turn immediately implies that c_M is a symplectic capacity, that is, $c_M(f(\mathcal{O})) = c_M(\mathcal{O})$ for any symplectomorphism f and any open set $\mathcal{O} \subset M$.

Going back to $\Sigma = M \times S^1$, let us denote by $\mathrm{Cont}_{0,c}(\Sigma, \xi)$ those contactomorphisms φ with compact support. There is a natural way to lift an element $f \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Ham}}_c(M, d\gamma)$ to obtain an element $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_{0,c}(\Sigma, \xi)$, as we now explain. The equation

$$f_t^* \gamma - \gamma = da_t, \quad a_0 \equiv 0, \tag{1.20}$$

determines a smooth compactly supported function $a_t : M \to \mathbb{R}$. Define $\varphi_t : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ by

$$\varphi_t(y,\tau) := \big(f_t(y), \underbrace{\tau - a_t(y)}_{\text{mod } 1}\big). \tag{1.21}$$

One can define the spectral numbers $c(\varphi)$ for $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_{0,c}(\Sigma,\xi)$ in much the same way as before, and the triangle inequality $c(\varphi\psi) \le c(\varphi) + c(\psi)$ continues to hold, see Section 5.1 or [FS07]. If $U \subset \Sigma$ is an open set with *compact closure* then both Proposition 1.8 and Theorem 1.23 also go through in this setting. Note that in this case the metric is again always unbounded; this follows from Theorem 1.29 below, since the Hofer norm on $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ham}}_c(M, d\gamma)$ is always unbounded for $(M, d\gamma)$ a Liouville manifold. Proposition 1.10 goes through without changes – in fact since there are no closed contractible Reeb orbits, a stronger result holds.

Corollary 1.27. *Suppose $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_{0,c}(\Sigma,\xi)$ and assume that $h_t(\varphi_t(x)) < 0$ whenever $\varphi_t(x) \ne x$. Assume that $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subset U$ for some open set U with compact closure and finite capacity. Then φ has infinitely many geometrically distinct iterated contractible translated points.*

In the same vein Proposition 1.12 also holds in this setting. Finally, Theorem 1.19 also holds, provided one works only with elements of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_{0,c}(\Sigma,\xi)$. See Section 5.4 for more information. It is important to note that there is no analogue to part (2) of Theorem 1.1, since the Reeb flow θ^t is not compactly supported and hence its spectral number is not defined. In Appendix A we show how to construct a 'compactly supported Reeb flow' ϑ^t (from the point of view of translated points.) However, as proved in Theorem A.1, this compactly supported Reeb flow has $c(\vartheta^T) = 0$ whenever $T > 0$, which suggests that there is no sensible definition of $c(\varphi)$ for non-compactly supported contactomorphisms φ. The following corollary is immediate from part (2) of Proposition 1.8.

Corollary 1.28. *If $U \subset \Sigma$ is an open set with compact closure such that the projection $\overline{\mathcal{O}} := \mathrm{pr}_1(\overline{U})$ is displaceable in M, that is, there exists $f \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Ham}}_c(M, d\gamma)$ such that $f_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}} = \emptyset$. Then for any $\psi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_{0,c}(\Sigma,\xi)$ with $\mathfrak{S}(\psi) \subset U$ one has*

$$0 \le c(\psi) \le c(\varphi) + c(\varphi^{-1}), \tag{1.22}$$

where φ denotes the lift of f defined in (1.21). In particular, if $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ (where every compact set is displaceable) then $c(\psi) \ge 0$ for all $\psi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_{0,c}(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1, \xi)$.

It is natural to ask the question: if $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_{0,c}(\Sigma,\xi)$ is the lift of $f \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Ham}}_c(M, d\gamma)$, how is $c(\varphi)$ related to $c_M(f)$? Note that $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) = \mathfrak{S}(f) \times S^1$, and hence another question is how the c_M capacity of $\mathcal{O} \subset M$ is related to the c capacity of $\mathcal{O} \times S^1$. The following result answers these questions in the nicest possible way.

Theorem 1.29. *Suppose $f \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Ham}}_c(M, d\gamma)$, and let $\varphi \in \widetilde{Cont}_{0,c}(\Sigma,\xi)$ denote the lift of f. Then*

$$c_M(f) = c(\varphi). \tag{1.23}$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{O} \subset M$ is open and has compact closure then

$$c_M(\mathcal{O}) = c(\mathcal{O} \times S^1). \tag{1.24}$$

Theorem 1.29 allows us to prove non-squeezing results on Σ by making use of the known results on M. Let $l^t : M \to M$ denote the flow of the Liouville vector field on M and set $\zeta^t := l^{\log t}$. We will prove the following general result.

Theorem 1.30. *Suppose $\mathcal{O} \subset M$ is a non-empty open set with compact closure and finite capacity: $c_M(\mathcal{O}) < \infty$. Suppose there exists a contact isotopy $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_{0,c}(\Sigma,\xi)$ such that*

$$\varphi_1\left(\zeta^{r_2}(\mathcal{O}) \times S^1\right) \subset \zeta^{r_1}(\mathcal{O}) \times S^1 \tag{1.25}$$

for $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\lceil r_2 \rceil \leq \lceil r_1 \rceil$. More generally if $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{Q} \subset M$ are open sets with the property that there exists $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ with $\varphi_1(\mathcal{Q} \times S^1) \subset \mathcal{O} \times S^1$ then $\lceil c_M(\mathcal{Q}) \rceil \leq \lceil c_M(\mathcal{O}) \rceil$.

Proof. Note that for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$c_M(\zeta^r(\mathcal{O})) = r c_M(\mathcal{O}) \neq 0, \tag{1.26}$$

since $c_M(\mathcal{O}) > 0$ as $\mathcal{O}$ is non-empty. Thus without loss of generality we may assume that $c_M(\mathcal{O}) = 1$. Then

$$\overline{c}(\zeta^r(\mathcal{O}) \times S^1) = \lceil c_M(\zeta^r(\mathcal{O})) \rceil = \lceil r \rceil . \tag{1.27}$$

Since as remarked above, Theorems 1.1 and 1.23 extend to this setting, the result follows from the analogue of Corollary 1.25. The last statement follows similarly. □

Remark 1.31. Theorem 1.30 recovers the beautiful non-squeezing result of [EKP06, Theorem 1.2]. In this case one takes $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and U the unit ball. They prove that if $\lceil r_1 \rceil < \lceil r_2 \rceil$ then it is not possible to squeeze $B(r_2) \times S^1$ into the *cylinder*[2] $C(r_1) \times S^1$. This result was also recovered by Sandon [San11] using generating functions.

Remark 1.32. One can play a similar game using the *symplectic homology* $\mathrm{SH}_*(M)$ rather than the Hamiltonian Floer homology $\mathrm{HF}_*(M)$. This corresponds to using a different class of Hamiltonians – instead of taking compactly supported Hamiltonians one uses Hamiltonians that grow superlinearly. This leads to a truly infinite dimensional theory: for instance taking $M = T^*B$, one has $\mathrm{SH}_*(T^*B) \cong \mathrm{H}_*(\Lambda(B);\mathbb{Z}_2)$ [Vit96, SW06, AS06], as opposed to $\mathrm{HF}_*(T^*B) \cong \mathrm{H}^{n-*}(B;\mathbb{Z}_2)$. It is possible to define a different Rabinowitz Floer homology $\mathrm{RFH}^{\mathrm{SH}}_*(M \times S^1, M \times P)$ which satisfies

$$\mathrm{RFH}^{\mathrm{SH}}_*(M \times S^1, M \times P) \cong \mathrm{SH}_*(M) \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(S^1;\mathbb{Z}_2) \tag{1.28}$$

instead of (1.18). This leads to non-squeezing results on $T^*B \times S^1$, which we will investigate in a sequel to this paper.

Further applications of Theorem 1.29 are given in Section 5.4.

Finally, following [EKP06, Section 1.7] we investigate a rigidity phenomenon of positive contractible loops of contactomorphisms. Suppose now that $(M, d\gamma)$ is the completion of a Liouville domain $(M_0, d\gamma_0)$. Set $S := \partial M_0$ and $\kappa := \gamma|_S$, so that (S,κ) is a contact manifold. Abbreviate

$$M(r) := \begin{cases} M_0 \backslash (S \times [r,1), & 0 < r < 1, \\ M_0 \cup_S (S \times [1,r), & r \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{1.29}$$

We can prove the following result, which roughly speaking says that if (S,κ) is *non-orderable*, so there exists a positive contractible loop $\chi = \{\chi_t\}_{t \in S^1} \subset \mathrm{Cont}_0(S,\kappa)$ of contactomorphisms, then it is *not* possible to homotope ζ through *positive* loops to id_S. In [EKP06, Theorem 1.11] this was proved for $S = S^{2n-1}$.

---

[2] Note that whilst $C(r_1) := B^2(r_1) \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$ does not have compact closure in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, and thus $\overline{c}(C(r_1) \times S^1)$ is not defined, since we only work with compactly supported contactomorphisms we can deduce this from the second statement of Theorem 1.30 by taking $\mathcal{O} = B(r_2)$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ a sufficiently large ellipse contained in $C(r_1)$.

Proposition 1.33. *Set $c := c_M(M_0)$ and assume that $c < \infty$. Suppose that (S, κ) is non-orderable, with $\chi = \{\chi_t\}_{t \in S^1} \subset \mathrm{Cont}_0(S, \kappa)$ a positive contractible loop of contactomorphisms. Let $g_t : S \to (0, \infty)$ denote the contact Hamiltonian of χ, and set*

$$\varepsilon := \min_{(t,y) \in S^1 \times S} g_t(y) > 0. \tag{1.30}$$

Then if $\{\chi_{s,t}\}_{0 \le s \le 1}$ is any homotopy of loops of contactomorphisms such that $\chi_{1,t} = \chi_t$ and $\chi_{0,t} = \mathrm{id}_S$ with corresponding contact Hamiltonian $g_{s,t} : S \to \mathbb{R}$ then there exists $(s, t, y) \in [0, 1] \times S^1 \times S$ such that $g_{s,t}(y) = -(1 - \varepsilon)c$.

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 1.30 and the material from [EKP06, Section 2.1]. Indeed, suppose there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $g_{s,t}(y) > -(1 - \varepsilon)(c - \delta)$ for all $(s, t, y) \in [0, 1] \times S^1 \times S$. Set $a := \min\{\varepsilon, \varepsilon c\}$. Then as proved in [EKP06, Section 2.1] for any $r < \frac{1}{c-\delta}$ it is possible to squeeze $M(r) \times S^1$ into $M(\frac{r}{1+ar}) \times S^1$. Fix $0 < \lambda < \min\{a, \delta\}$ and take $r = \frac{1}{c-\lambda}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{c}(M(r) \times S^1) &= \lceil c_M(M(r)) \rceil \\ &= \lceil r c_M(M(1)) \rceil \\ &= \left\lceil \tfrac{c}{c-\lambda} \right\rceil = 2.\end{aligned} \tag{1.31}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{c}(M(\tfrac{r}{1+ar}) \times S^1) &= \left\lceil c_M(M(\tfrac{r}{1+ar})) \right\rceil \\ &= \left\lceil \frac{cr}{1+ar} \right\rceil \\ &= \left\lceil \frac{c}{c-\lambda+a} \right\rceil = 1.\end{aligned} \tag{1.32}$$

This contradicts Theorem 1.30. □

Acknowledgement. We are very grateful to Leonid Polterovich and Frol Zapolsky for their helpful comments and discussions. We are also grateful to Daniel Rosen and Sheila Sandon for their useful remarks. The first author is supported by the SFB 878 - Groups, Geometry and Actions. The second author is supported by an ETH Postdoctoral Fellowship.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Introductory definitions.**

Suppose (Σ, ξ) is a connected closed coorientable contact manifold. We denote by $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ the set of all smoothly parametrized paths $\{\varphi_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ with $\varphi_0 = \mathrm{id}_\Sigma$. We introduce an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ by saying that two paths φ and ψ are equivalent if $\varphi_1 = \psi_1$ and we can connect φ and ψ via a smooth family $\varphi^s = \{\varphi^s_t\}_{0 \le s,t \le 1}$ of paths such that $\varphi^0 = \varphi$, $\varphi^1 = \psi$ and such that φ^s_1 is independent of s. The universal cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ of $\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ is then $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)/\sim$. We now give the precise definition of a Liouville manifold, and what it means for Σ to be Liouville fillable.

Definition 2.1. A *Liouville domain* $(W_0, d\lambda_0)$ is a compact exact symplectic manifold such that $\lambda_0|_{\partial W_0}$ is a positive contact form on ∂W_0. Equivalently the vector field Z_0 on W_0 defined by $i_{Z_0}\lambda_0 = d\lambda_0$ should be transverse to ∂W_0 and point outwards. Z_0 is called the *Liouville vector field*, and we denote by l^t the flow of Z_0, which is defined for all $t \le 0$, and thus induces an embedding $\partial W_0 \times (0,1] \to W_0$ defined by $(x,r) \mapsto l^{\log r}(x)$. Thus we can form the *completion* $(W, d\lambda)$ of $(W_0, d\lambda_0)$ by by attaching $\partial W_0 \times [1,\infty)$ onto ∂W_0:

$$W := W_0 \cup_{\partial W_0} (\partial W_0 \times [1,\infty)).$$

We extend λ_0 to a 1-form λ on W by setting $\lambda = r\lambda|_{\partial W_0}$ on $\partial W_0 \times [1,\infty)$. Thus $d\lambda$ is a symplectic form on W. Similarly we extend Z_0 to a vector field Z on W by setting $Z = r\partial_r$ on $\partial W_0 \times [1,\infty)$. One calls $(W, d\lambda)$ a *Liouville manifold* - thus Liouville manifolds are exact non-compact symplectic manifolds obtained by completing a Liouville domain.

We say that a closed connected coorientable contact manifold (Σ, ξ) is *Liouville fillable* if there exists a Liouville domain $(W_0, d\lambda_0)$ such that $\Sigma = \partial W_0$ and such that if $\alpha := \lambda|_\Sigma$ then α is a positive contact form on Σ with ker $\alpha = \xi$. By a slight abuse of notation we will generally refer to the Liouville manifold $(W, d\lambda)$ obtained from completing $(W_0, d\lambda_0)$ as 'the' filling of Σ.

The *symplectization* $S\Sigma$ of a contact manifold $(\Sigma, \xi = \text{ker } \alpha)$ is the symplectic manifold $\Sigma \times (0,\infty)$ equipped with the symplectic form $d(r\alpha)$. If Σ is Liouville fillable with filling $(W, d\lambda)$ then one can embed $S\Sigma \hookrightarrow W$ by using the flow l^t of the Liouville vector field Z of V. Next we recall how to lift a path $\varphi = \{\varphi_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ to a symplectic isotopy $\Phi = \{\Phi_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ on the symplectization $S\Sigma$. Write $\varphi_t^* \alpha = \rho_t \varphi_t$. Then define $\Phi_t : S\Sigma \to S\Sigma$ by

$$\Phi_t(x,r) := \left(\varphi_t(x), \frac{r}{\rho_t(x)} \right). \tag{2.1}$$

The path Φ_t is Hamiltonian (in fact it preserves λ) with Hamiltonian function

$$H_t(x,r) := r h_t(x) : S\Sigma \to \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.2}$$

For later use we note the following lemma, whose proof is a simple computation which we omit.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that $\varphi = \{\varphi_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ and $\psi = \{\psi_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ belong to $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$, and let $\vartheta \in \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$. Let X_t and Y_t denote the infinitesimal generators of φ and ψ respectively, so that*

$$X_t \circ \varphi_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi_t, \quad Y_t \circ \psi_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_t. \tag{2.3}$$

We define smooth positive functions ρ_t, σ_t and κ via

$$\varphi_t^* \alpha = \rho_t \alpha, \quad \psi_t^* \alpha = \sigma_t \alpha, \quad \vartheta^* \alpha = \kappa \alpha.$$

Let h_t denote the contact Hamiltonian of φ and k_t denote the contact Hamiltonian of ψ. Then:

(1) *One has $(\varphi_t \psi_t)^* \alpha = \tau_t \alpha$ where*

$$\tau_t(x) = \rho_t(\psi_t(x))\sigma_t(x), \tag{2.4}$$

and the contact Hamiltonian l_t of $\varphi\psi$ is given by

$$l_t(x) = h_t(x) + \rho_t(\varphi_t^{-1}(x)) k_t(\varphi_t^{-1}(x)). \tag{2.5}$$

(2) *One has $(\varphi_t \psi_t^{-1})^* \alpha = \upsilon_t \alpha$ where*

$$\upsilon_t(x) = \frac{\rho_t(\psi_t^{-1}(x))}{\sigma_t(\psi_t^{-1}(x))}, \tag{2.6}$$

and the contact Hamiltonian m_t *of* $\varphi\psi^{-1}$ *is given by*

$$m_t(x) = h_t(x) - \frac{\rho_t(\varphi_t^{-1}(x))k_t(\mu_t^{-1}(x))}{\sigma_t(\varphi_t^{-1}(x))}. \tag{2.7}$$

(3) *Let* $\vartheta\varphi\vartheta^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ *denote the path* $t \mapsto \vartheta\varphi_t\vartheta^{-1}$*. Then one has* $(\vartheta\varphi_t\vartheta^{-1})^*\alpha = \chi_t\alpha$ *where*

$$\chi_t(x) = \frac{\kappa(\varphi_t(\vartheta^{-1}(x))\rho_t(\vartheta^{-1}(x))}{\kappa(\vartheta^{-1}(x))}, \tag{2.8}$$

and the contact Hamiltonian q_t *of* $\vartheta\varphi\vartheta^{-1}$ *is given by*

$$q_t(x) := \kappa(\vartheta^{-1}(x))h_t(\vartheta^{-1}(x)). \tag{2.9}$$

We next define precisely what it means for a contact form α generating ξ to be of Morse-Bott type.

Definition 2.3. A contact 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$ generating ξ is said to be of *Morse-Bott type* if for each $T > 0$, the set $P_T \subset \Sigma$ of points $x \in \Sigma$ satisfying $\theta^T(x) = x$ is a closed submanifold of Σ, with the property that $\operatorname{rank} d\alpha|_{P_T}$ is locally constant and

$$T_xP_T = \ker\left(D\theta^T(x) - \mathrm{id}_{T_x\Sigma}\right) \quad \text{for all } x \in P_T. \tag{2.10}$$

A Liouville fillable contact manifold (Σ, ξ) is said to admit a *Morse-Bott Liouville filling* if there exists a filling $(W, d\lambda)$ such that $\alpha := \lambda|_\Sigma$ is of Morse-Bott type.

Let us now recall the definition of a translated point of a contactomorphism. This notion was introduced by Sandon in [San12b].

Definition 2.4. Let (Σ, ξ) denote a closed connected coorientable contact manifold, and fix a contact form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$ generating ξ. Fix $\varphi \in \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$. We can write $\varphi^*\alpha = \rho\alpha$ for a smooth positive function ρ on Σ. A *translated point* of φ is a point $x \in$ with the property that there exists $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \theta^\eta(x), \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(x) = 1. \tag{2.11}$$

We call η the *time-shift* of x. Note that if the leaf $\{\theta^t(x)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is closed (which is always the case when α is periodic) then the time-shift is not unique. Indeed, if the leaf $\{\theta^t(x)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ has period $T > 0$ then $\varphi(x) = \theta^{\eta+\nu T}(x)$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this case one needs to keep track of the η. Finally we say a point $x \in \Sigma$ is an *iterated translated point* of φ if it is a translated point for some iteration φ^ν.

Now let us define what it means for a translated point x of an element $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ to be *contractible* with respect to a Liouville filling $(W, d\lambda)$.

Definition 2.5. Let $(W, d\lambda)$ denote a Liouville filling of (Σ, ξ), with $\alpha = \lambda|_\Sigma$. Suppose $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ and x is a translated point of φ of time-shift η. We say that the pair (x, η) is a *contractible* translated point if the continuous loop $l : \mathbb{R}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \Sigma$ obtained from concatenating the path $\{\varphi_t(x)\}_{0\le t\le 1}$ with the path $\{\theta^{-\eta t}(x)\}_{0\le t\le 1}$ is contractible in W. It is easy to see that this does not depend on path $\{\varphi_t\}_{0\le t\le 1}\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ representing φ. A point (x, η) is a *contractible iterated translated point* of φ if (x, η) is a contractible translated point of some iterate φ^ν.

Remark 2.6. Note that if the leaf $\{\theta^t(x)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is closed then it is important to take into account which time-shift we are working with. For instance, suppose $\varphi = \theta^\tau$ for some $\tau > 0$ and the leaf $\{\theta^t(x)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is closed and non-contractible in W. Then (x,τ) is a contractible translated point of φ but for all $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$, $(x, \tau + \nu T)$ is not contractible.

For us, the usefulness of translated points stems from the fact that the translated points of φ are the generators of the Rabinowitz Floer homology associated to φ, when the Rabinowitz Floer homology is well defined; see Lemma 2.9 or [AM13b] for more information.

2.2. The Rabinowitz action functional $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ on $\Lambda(S\Sigma)\times\mathbb{R}$.

Write $\Lambda(S\Sigma) := C^\infty_{\mathrm{contr}}(S^1, S\Sigma)$ for the component of the free loop space containing the contractible loops.

Definition 2.7. Fix a path $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ as above, and let H_t denote the Hamiltonian (2.2). We define the *perturbed Rabinowitz action functional*

$$\mathcal{A}_\varphi : \Lambda(S\Sigma) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \tag{2.12}$$

by

$$\mathcal{A}_\varphi(u,\eta) := \int_0^1 u^*\lambda - \eta\int_0^1 \beta(t)(r(t)-1)dt - \int_0^1 \dot\chi(t)H_{\chi(t)}(u(t))dt, \tag{2.13}$$

where $\beta : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function with

$$\beta(t) = 0 \ \forall t \in [\tfrac{1}{2}, 1], \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 \beta(t)dt = 1, \tag{2.14}$$

and $\chi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ is a smooth monotone map with $\chi(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$, $\chi(1) = 1$, and $r(t)$ is the $\mathbb{R}$-component of the map $u : S^1 \to S\Sigma = \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi)$ the set of critical points of $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$, and denote by $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi) := \mathcal{A}_\varphi(\mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi))$.

Remark 2.8. In this paper we define the Rabinowitz action functional only on the contractible component of the free loop space, as all the applications we have in mind here pertain only to the contractible component. Nevertheless, it is possible to carry out all of our constructions on the full loop space without any changes. This is because the symplectic form (on $S\Sigma$ or on the Liouville filling W) is exact, and so there are no ambiguities in the definition of $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ on non-contractible loops.

The following lemma explains why the perturbed Rabinowitz action functional is useful in detecting translated points. It is a minor variant of an argument originally due to the first author and Frauenfelder [AF10, Proposition 2.4]. For the convenience of the reader we recall the proof again here.

Lemma 2.9. [AM13b]*A pair (u,η) is a critical point of $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ if and only if, writing $u(t) = (x(t), r(t)) \in \Sigma \times (0,\infty)$, $p := x(\frac{1}{2})$ is a translated point of φ, with time-shift $-\eta$ (taken modulo the period of the leaf $\{\theta^t(p)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ if $\{\theta^t(p)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a closed leaf). Moreover if (u,η) is a critical point of $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ then*

$$\mathcal{A}_\varphi(u,\eta) = \eta. \tag{2.15}$$

If φ is an exact path of contactomorphisms then $r(t) \equiv 1$ for every critical point $(u = (x,r),\eta)$.

Proof. Denote by $\Phi_t : S\Sigma \to S\Sigma$ the symplectic isotopy (2.1). A pair (u,η) with $u = (x,r) : S^1 \to \Sigma \times (0,\infty)$ belongs to $\mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi)$ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \dot u(t) = \eta\beta(t)R(x(t)) + \dot\chi(t)X_{H_{\chi(t)}}(u(t)), \\ \int_0^1 \beta(t)(r(t)-1)dt = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.16}$$

Thus for $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, we have $r(t) = 1$ and $\dot{x}(t) = -\eta R(x(t))$, and $x(1) = \Phi_1(x(\frac{1}{2}))$. Suppose $(u, \eta) \in \mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi)$. Thus $u(\frac{1}{2}) = (\theta^{-\eta}(x(0)), 1)$. Next, for $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ we have $\dot{u}(t) = \dot{\chi}(t) X_{H_{\chi(t)}}(u(t))$. In particular, $\varphi(x(\frac{1}{2})) = \theta^{-\eta}(x(\frac{1}{2}))$, and thus $x(\frac{1}{2})$ is a translated point of φ. Moreover the time shift of x is given by $-\eta$ mod 1.

Next, we note that

$$\lambda(X_H(x,r)) = dH(x,r)\left(r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) = H(x,r), \tag{2.17}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_\varphi(u,\eta) &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (r\alpha)(\eta\beta(u)R(x))dt + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left[\lambda(\dot{\chi}X_{H_\chi}(u)) - \dot{\chi}H_\chi(u)\right] dt \\ &= \eta + 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Finally if φ_t is exact for each t then the path Φ_t of symplectomorphisms defined in (2.1) is simply given by $\Phi_t(x,r) = (\varphi_t(x), r)$, from which the last statement immediately follows. □

Remark 2.10. We emphasize again that if $(u = (x,r), \eta)$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ then the time-shift of the translated point $x(\frac{1}{2})$ is the *negative* of the action value. This explains the Reeb flow will turn out to have a *negative* spectral number (cf. part (1) of Theorem 1.1).

Remark 2.11. Note that $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi)$ depends only on the terminal map φ_1.

We now define what if means for φ to be non-degenerate. In the periodic case we also introduce the notion of being non-resonant.

Definition 2.12. A path φ is *non-degenerate* if $\mathcal{A}_\varphi : \Lambda(S\Sigma) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Morse-Bott function. In the periodic case we say that φ is *non-resonant* if $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi) \cap \mathbb{Z} = \emptyset$.

The identity id_Σ is non-degenerate if and only if α is of Morse-Bott type (see [CF09, Appendix B]). In [AM13b, p7] we explained why a generic path φ is non-degenerate (actually a stronger result is true: for generic φ the functional $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ is even Morse). It is also easy to see that a generic φ is non-resonant.

The next lemma explains in why we pay particular attention to periodic contact manifolds. It will prove crucial in the construction of the contact capacity (cf. Section 4, in particular Proposition 4.3). Fix $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ and fix a contactomorphism $\psi \in \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$.

Lemma 2.13. *Assume α is periodic. If $(u = (x,r), \eta) \in \mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi)$ with $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}$ then there exists a critical point $(u_1 = (x_1, r_1), \eta)$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}}$ with $x_1(\frac{1}{2}) = \psi(x(\frac{1}{2}))$. In particular,*

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi) \cap \mathbb{Z} = \emptyset \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}}) \cap \mathbb{Z} = \emptyset. \tag{2.19}$$

Moreover (u, η) is non-degenerate if and only if (u_1, η) is non-degenerate.

Proof. If $(u, \eta) \in \mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi)$ with $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}$ then since θ^t is 1-periodic, this means that if we write $u(t) = (x(t), r(t))$ then $x(\frac{1}{2})$ is a fixed point of φ. Thus $\psi(x(\frac{1}{2}))$ is a fixed point of $\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}$. Thus by Lemma 2.9 for each $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists a critical point $(u_\nu = (x_\nu, r_\nu), \nu)$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}}$ with $x_\nu(\frac{1}{2}) = \psi(x_\nu(\frac{1}{2}))$. In particular, this is true for $\nu = \eta$.

The final statement follows from the fact that (u, η) is non-degenerate if and only if the linear map $D\varphi((x(\frac{1}{2})) - \mathbb{1}$ is invertible, and similarly (u_1, η) is non-degenerate if and only if the linear map $D(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1})(\psi(x((\frac{1}{2}))) - \mathbb{1}$ is invertible. □

The following lemma can be proved by arguing as in [Sch00, Lemma 3.8]. The important thing to note is that in the lemma one does *not* necessarily assume that φ is non-degenerate.

Lemma 2.14. *For any path φ and any $T > 0$ the set*

$$\mathrm{Spec}^{[-T,T]}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi) := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi) \cap [-T, T] \tag{2.20}$$

is a discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}$. In particular, if α is periodic then $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi)$ is itself discrete for any path φ.

2.3. **Rabinowitz Floer homology.** Let us now assume that (Σ, ξ) is Liouville fillable with a Morse-Bott Liouville filling $(W, d\lambda)$. We would like to extend $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ to a functional defined on all of $\Lambda(W) \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\Lambda(W) := C^\infty_{\mathrm{contr}}(S^1, W)$ as before. In order to do this we must extend the function $(x, r) \mapsto r - 1$ and the Hamiltonian H_t to functions defined on all of W. At the same time, it is convenient to truncate them. As in [AF10], we proceed as follows. Define $m : W \to \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$m(x, r) := r - 1 \quad \text{on } \Sigma \times (\tfrac{1}{2}, \infty), \tag{2.21}$$

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial r}(x, r) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } (x, r) \in S\Sigma, \tag{2.22}$$

$$m|_{W \setminus S\Sigma} := -\tfrac{3}{4}. \tag{2.23}$$

Next, for $\kappa > 0$ let $\varepsilon_\kappa \in C^\infty([0, \infty), [0, 1])$ denote a smooth function such that

$$\varepsilon_\kappa(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & r \in [e^{-\kappa}, e^\kappa], \\ 0, & r \in [0, e^{-\kappa}] \cup [e^\kappa + 1, \infty), \end{cases} \tag{2.24}$$

and such that

$$0 \leq \varepsilon'_\kappa(r) \leq 2e^{2\kappa} \quad \text{for } r \in [e^{-2\kappa}, e^{-\kappa}], \tag{2.25}$$

$$-2 \leq \varepsilon'_\kappa(r) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } r \in [e^\kappa, e^\kappa + 1]. \tag{2.26}$$

Then define $H_t^\kappa : W \to \mathbb{R}$ by setting $H_t^\kappa|_{W \setminus S\Sigma} = -\frac{3}{4}$ and

$$H_t^\kappa(x, r) := \varepsilon_\kappa(r) H_t(x, r) \quad \text{for } (x, r) \in S\Sigma. \tag{2.27}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa : \Lambda(W) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ the Rabinowitz action functional defined using the Hamiltonians m and H_t^κ:

$$\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa(u, \eta) := \int_0^1 u^*\lambda - \eta \int_0^1 \beta(t) m(u(t)) dt - \int_0^1 \dot{\chi}(t) H^\kappa_{\chi(t)}(u(t)) dt. \tag{2.28}$$

Definition 2.15. Now let us recall the definition of the *oscillation 'norm'*[3] on $\widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$. Firstly, suppose $\{\varphi_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \in \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$. Let $h_t : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the contact Hamiltonian. The *oscillation 'norm'* $\|h\|_{\mathrm{osc}}$ is defined by

$$\|h\|_{\mathrm{osc}} := \|h\|_+ + \|h\|_- , \tag{2.29}$$

$$\|h\|_+ := \int_0^1 \max_{x \in \Sigma} h_t(x) dt, \quad \|h\|_- := -\int_0^1 \min_{x \in \Sigma} h_t(x) dt. \tag{2.30}$$

We then define the oscillation norm $\|\varphi\|$ for $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ by taking the infimum of the oscillation norms $\|h_t\|_{\mathrm{osc}}$ over all possible paths $\{\varphi_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ representing φ (with corresponding contact Hamiltonians h_t).

---

[3] Note that this is not really a norm! Any exact contactomorphism φ has $\|\varphi\| = 0$.

Remark 2.16. Denote by $\Phi^\kappa \in \mathrm{Ham}_c(W, d\lambda)$ the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism generated by H_t^κ. The *Hofer norm* $\|\Phi^\kappa\|$ of H_t^κ is related to $\|\varphi\|$ by

$$\|\Phi^\kappa\| \le e^\kappa \|\varphi\|.$$

Here by definition the Hoer norm $\|\Phi^\kappa\|$ is the infimum of the oscillation norms of all possible Hamiltonians generating Φ^κ. One such Hamiltonian is H_t^κ, and it is clear that $\|H^\kappa\|_{\mathrm{osc}} \le e^\kappa \|h\|_{\mathrm{osc}}$.

Definition 2.17. Suppose $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$. Let $\rho_t : \Sigma \to (0, \infty)$ is defined by $\varphi_t^* \alpha = \rho_t \alpha$. Define a constant $\kappa(\varphi) > 0$ by

$$\kappa(\varphi) := 8 \max \left\{ \left| \frac{\dot\rho_t(x)}{\rho_t^2(x)} \right| \mid (t, x) \in [0,1] \times \Sigma \right\}. \tag{2.31}$$

Note that if φ is exact then $\kappa(\varphi) = 0$.

In [AM13b, Proposition 2.5] we proved:

Lemma 2.18. *If $\kappa > \kappa(\varphi)$ then if $(u, \eta) \in \mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa)$ then $u(S^1) \subseteq S\Sigma$, and moreover if we write $u(t) = (x(t), r(t))$ then $r(S^1) \subseteq (e^{-\kappa/2}, e^{\kappa/2})$.*

Remark 2.19. Fix $w > 0$. Suppose we rescale α to $w\alpha$. This corresponds to identifying Σ with $\Sigma \times \{w\}$ instead of $\Sigma \times \{1\}$ inside $S\Sigma$. Note that the contact Hamiltonian of $w\alpha$ is wh_t, and $\varphi_t^*(w\alpha) = w\rho_t\alpha$. In particular, the oscillation norm $\|\{\varphi_t\}\|_{\mathrm{osc};w}$ with respect to $w\alpha$ is given simply by $\|\{\varphi_t\}\|_{\mathrm{osc};w} = w\|\{\varphi_t\}\|_{\mathrm{osc}}$. Moreover, if $\wp_W(\Sigma, \alpha)$ denote the smallest period of a Reeb orbit of α which is contractible in W (see Definition 1.9) one clearly has $\wp_W(\Sigma, w\alpha) = w\wp_W(\Sigma, \alpha)$. However the constant $\kappa(\varphi)$ rescales to

$$\kappa_w(\varphi) = \frac{1}{w}\kappa(\varphi). \tag{2.32}$$

Thus we conclude the following result, which will be useful in the proof of Proposition 3.19 below.

Corollary 2.20. *Suppose $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ satisfies $\|\varphi\| < \wp_W(\Sigma, \alpha)$. Suppose φ has contact Hamiltonian h_t. Given $\kappa, w > 0$ let $H_t^{\kappa;w} : W \to W$ be defined by*

$$H_t^{\kappa;w}(x, r) := \varepsilon_\kappa(wr) wr h_t(x), \quad H_t^{\kappa;w}|_{W \setminus S\Sigma} = -\tfrac{3}{4}. \tag{2.33}$$

Then if $\Phi^{\kappa;w} \in \mathrm{Ham}_c(W, d\lambda)$ is generated by $H_t^{\kappa;w}$ then for $w \gg 0$ one can choose $\kappa > \kappa_w(\varphi)$ such that

$$\|\Phi^{\kappa;w}\| < \wp_W(\Sigma, w\alpha). \tag{2.34}$$

If φ is non-degenerate in the sense of Definition 2.12, then as explained in [AM13b], Lemma 2.18 allows to define for $a, b \in [-\infty, \infty] \backslash \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi)$ the *Rabinowitz Floer homology*

$$\mathrm{RFH}_*^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W). \tag{2.35}$$

This is a semi-infinite dimensional Morse theory associated to the functional $\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa$ (for some $\kappa > \kappa_0(\varphi)$), and we sketch the definition here and refer to e.g. [AF10, AM13b] for more information.

Let $\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{conv}}(S\Sigma)$ denote the set of time dependent almost complex structures $J = \{J_t\}_{t \in S^1}$ on $S\Sigma$ that are $d(r\alpha)$-compatible and that are *convex.* Here we use the sign convention that

J is $d\lambda$-compatible if $d(r\alpha)(J\cdot,\cdot)$ defines a Riemannian metric, and to say that J is convex is to ask that there exists $S_0 > 0$ such that

$$dr \circ J_t = d(r\alpha) \quad \text{on } \Sigma \times [S_0, \infty) \tag{2.36}$$

(in particular, J is independent of t on $\Sigma \times [S_0,\infty)$). We denote by $\mathscr{J}_{\mathrm{conv}}(W)$ the set of time-dependent almost complex structures $J = \{J_t\}_{t\in S^1}$ with the property that $J|_{S\Sigma} \in \mathscr{J}_{\mathrm{conv}}(S\Sigma)$.

Given $J \in \mathscr{J}_{\mathrm{conv}}(W)$ we can define an L^2-inner product $\langle\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\rangle_J$ on $\Lambda(W)\times\mathbb{R}$: for $(u,\eta) \in \Lambda(W)\times\mathbb{R}$, $\zeta,\zeta' \in \Gamma(u^*TW)$ and $b, b' \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$\langle\langle(\zeta,b),(\zeta',b')\rangle\rangle_J := \int_0^1 d\lambda(J_t\zeta,\zeta')dt + bb'. \tag{2.37}$$

We denote by $\nabla_J\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa$ the gradient of $\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa$ with respect to $\langle\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\rangle_J$.

Remark 2.21. In this paper all sign conventions are the same as in [AS09, AM13b].

Assume that φ is non-degenerate and fix $\kappa > \kappa_0(\varphi)$ and $J \in \mathscr{J}_{\mathrm{conv}}(W)$. By assumption $\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa$ is a Morse-Bott function. Pick a Morse function $g : \mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa) \to \mathbb{R}$, and choose a Riemannian metric ϱ on $\mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa)$ such that the negative gradient flow of $\nabla_\varrho g$ is *Morse-Smale*. Given two critical points $w^-, w^+ \in \mathrm{Crit}(g)$, with $w^\pm = (u^\pm, \eta^\pm)$, we denote by $\mathcal{M}_{w^-,w^+}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa, g, J, \varrho)$ the moduli space of *gradient flow lines with cascades* of $-\nabla_J\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa$ and $-\nabla_\varrho g$ running from w^- to w^+. See [Fra04, Appendix A] or [CF09, Appendix A] for the precise definition.

Introduce a grading on $\mathrm{Crit}(g)$ by setting

$$\mu(u,\eta) := \begin{cases} \mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(u) - \frac{1}{2}\dim_{(u,\eta)}\mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa) + \mathrm{ind}_g(u,\eta), & \eta > 0, \\ \mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(u) - \frac{1}{2}\dim_{(u,\eta)}\mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa) + \mathrm{ind}_g(u,\eta) + 1, & \eta < 0, \\ 1 - n + \mathrm{ind}_g(u,\eta), & \eta = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.38}$$

Here $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(u)$ denotes the Conley-Zehnder index of the loop $t \mapsto u(t/\eta)$ and $\dim_{(u,\eta)}\mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa)$ denotes the local dimension of $\mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa)$ at (u,η). Actually in most cases of interest in this paper, we may assume that $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ is actually Morse. In this case the Morse function g is taken to be identically zero, and (2.38) continues to hold.

Remark 2.22. Our normalization convention for the Conley-Zehnder index is that if H is a C^2-small Morse function on W and x is a critical point of W then

$$\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(x) = n - \mathrm{ind}_H(x), \tag{2.39}$$

where $\mathrm{ind}_H(x)$ denotes the Morse index of x.

Given $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ denote by $\mathrm{RFC}_*^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa, g) := \mathrm{Crit}_*^{(a,b)}(g) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, where $\mathrm{Crit}_*^{(a,b)}(g)$ denotes the set of critical points w of g with $a < \mathcal{A}_\varphi(w) < b$. We only do this when $a, b \notin \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi)$, even if this is not explicitly stated. Generically the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{w^-,w^+}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, g, J, \varrho)$ carry the structure of finite dimensional smooth manifolds, whose components of dimension zero are compact. One defines a boundary operator ∂ on $\mathrm{RFC}_*^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa, g)$ by counting the elements of the zero-dimensional parts of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{w^-,w^+}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, g, J, \varrho)$.

The homology $\mathrm{RFH}_*^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W) := \mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{RFC}_*^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa, g), \partial)$ does not depend on any of the auxiliary choices we made. We emphasize though that $\mathrm{RFH}_*^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W)$ depends on the choice of filling $(W, d\lambda)$. Finally we define

$$\mathrm{RFH}_*^b(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W) := \varinjlim_{a\downarrow-\infty} \mathrm{RFH}_*^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W), \tag{2.40}$$

$$\mathrm{RFH}_*^{(a,\infty)}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W) := \varprojlim_{b\uparrow\infty} \mathrm{RFH}_*^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W), \tag{2.41}$$

$$\mathrm{RFH}_*(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W) := \varinjlim_{a\downarrow-\infty} \varprojlim_{b\uparrow\infty} \mathrm{RFH}_*^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W) \tag{2.42}$$

(the order of the limits in (2.42) matters). As pointed out by Ritter [Rit13], it follows from work of Cieliebak-Frauenfelder-Oancea [CFO10] that the Rabinowitz Floer homology $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\Sigma, W)$ vanishes if and only if the symplectic homology $\mathrm{SH}_*(W)$ vanishes.

We briefly summarize now the key properties that we will need about the Rabinowitz Floer homology $\mathrm{RFH}_*^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W)$:

(1) The Rabinowitz Floer homology is independent of φ in the following strong sense. There is a universal object $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\Sigma, W)$ (which may be thought as corresponding to the case $\varphi = \mathrm{id}_\Sigma$, together with canonical isomorphisms

$$\zeta_\varphi : \mathrm{RFH}_*(\Sigma, W) \to \mathrm{RFH}_*(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W). \tag{2.43}$$

Given two paths φ and ψ, there is a map $\zeta_{\varphi,\psi} : \mathrm{RFH}_*(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W) \to \mathrm{RFH}_*(\mathcal{A}_\psi, W)$ with the property that

$$\zeta_\psi = \zeta_{\varphi,\psi} \circ \zeta_\varphi. \tag{2.44}$$

(2) If $a \le b \le \infty$ there is a natural map

$$j_\varphi^{a,b} : \mathrm{RFH}_*^a(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W) \to \mathrm{RFH}_*^b(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W). \tag{2.45}$$

Similarly there is a natural map

$$p_\varphi^{a,b} : \mathrm{RFH}_*^b(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W) \to \mathrm{RFH}_*^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W). \tag{2.46}$$

If $b = \infty$ we abbreviate $j_\varphi^{a,\infty} = j_\varphi^a$, and we write j^a for the map $\mathrm{RFH}_*^a(\Sigma, W) \to \mathrm{RFH}_*(\Sigma, W)$, with similar conventions for the maps $p_\varphi^{a,b}$. If $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi) \cap [a, b] = \emptyset$ then the map $j_\varphi^{a,b} : \mathrm{RFH}_*^a(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W) \to \mathrm{RFH}_*^b(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W)$ is an isomorphism and $p_\varphi^{a,b} : \mathrm{RFH}_*^b(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W) \to \mathrm{RFH}_*^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W)$ is the zero map (as $\mathrm{RFH}_*^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W) = 0$).

(3) Moreover there is a filtered version of (2.44), which gives the existence of a maps

$$\zeta_{\varphi,\psi}^a : \mathrm{RFH}_*^a(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W) \to \mathrm{RFH}_*^{a+K(\varphi,\psi)}(\mathcal{A}_\psi, W) \tag{2.47}$$

for some constant $K(\varphi, \psi) \ge 0$. The maps (2.47) are a special case of [AF10, Lemma 2.7]. It will be important however to note that if the paths φ, ψ have contact Hamiltonians h_t and k_t then then the constant $K(\varphi, \psi)$ satisfies

$$K(\varphi, \psi) \le e^{\max\{\kappa(\varphi),\kappa(\psi)\}} \left\| h^\varphi - h^\psi \right\|_+, \tag{2.48}$$

where we are using the notation from (2.29)-(2.30). Finally one has for all $A \in \mathrm{RFH}_*^a(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W)$ that

$$\zeta_{\varphi,\psi}\left(j_\varphi^a(A)\right) = j_\psi^{a+K(\varphi,\psi)}\left(\zeta_{\varphi,\psi}^a(A)\right). \tag{2.49}$$

(4) The Rabinowitz Floer homology $\mathrm{RFH}(\Sigma, W)$ carries the structure of a unital ring (see [AM13a]). That is, given $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, there is a map

$$\pi^{a,b} : \mathrm{RFH}_i^a(\Sigma, W) \otimes \mathrm{RFH}_j^b(\Sigma, W) \to \mathrm{RFH}_{i+j-n}^{a+b}(\Sigma, W) \tag{2.50}$$

with the property that $\pi := \pi^{\infty,\infty}$ gives $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\Sigma, W)$ the structure of a unital ring. Thus there exists an element $\mathbf{1} \in \mathrm{RFH}_*(\Sigma, W)$ such that $\pi(A, \mathbf{1}) = \pi(\mathbf{1}, A) = A$ for all $A \in \mathrm{RFH}_*(\Sigma, W)$. In particular, $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\Sigma, W) = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{1} = 0$. The unit lives in degree $n = \frac{1}{2} \dim V$. The maps $\pi^{a,b}$ are compatible with the action filtration in the sense that for all $A \in \mathrm{RFH}^a_*(\Sigma, W)$ and $B \in \mathrm{RFH}^b_*(\Sigma, W)$ one has

$$j^{a+b}(\pi^{a,b}(A, B)) = \pi(j^a(A), j^b(A)). \tag{2.51}$$

The unit $\mathbf{1}$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{1} \in j^a(\mathrm{RFH}^a(\Sigma, W)) \quad \text{if and only if } a > 0. \tag{2.52}$$

(5) Consider again the case of the identity id_Σ. In this case we don't need to worry about the cutoff function β, and we simply study the unperturbed functional $\mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{A}(u, \eta) := \int_0^1 u^*\lambda - \eta \int_0^1 m(u(t))dt. \tag{2.53}$$

Since $\Sigma \times \{0\} \subseteq \mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A})$, if as above we choose a Morse function g on $\mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A})$, we may think of $g_\Sigma := g|_{\Sigma \times \{0\}}$ as a Morse function on Σ. If we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small then

$$\mathrm{RFH}^{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}_*(\mathcal{A}, g) \cong \mathrm{HM}_{*+n-1}(g_\Sigma), \tag{2.54}$$

since in this case the boundary operator $\partial : \mathrm{RFC}^{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}_*(\mathcal{A}, g) \to \mathrm{RFC}^{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}_{*-1}(\mathcal{A}, g)$ coincides with the Morse differential $\partial^{\mathrm{Morse}} : \mathrm{CM}_{*+n-1}(g_\Sigma) \to \mathrm{CM}_{*+n-2}(g_\Sigma)$. Suppose g_Σ has a unique maximum $x_{\max} \in \Sigma$. Then $x_{\max}$ is necessarily a cycle in the Morse complex $\mathrm{CM}_{2n-1}(g_\Sigma)$, and under the isomorphism $\mathrm{HM}_*(g_\Sigma) \cong \mathrm{H}_*(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ the class $[x_{\max}]$ represents the fundamental class $[\Sigma] \in \mathrm{H}_{2n-1}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. It turns out that $(x_{\max}, 0)$ is also a cycle in $\mathrm{RFC}_*(\mathcal{A}, g)$, and the class $[(x_{\max}, 0)]$ is the unit $\mathbf{1} \in \mathrm{RFH}_n(\Sigma, W)$. In particular, one has

$$p^{-\varepsilon}(\mathbf{1}) = j^\varepsilon([\Sigma]). \tag{2.55}$$

(6) The product structure carries over to the groups $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W)$. Given φ and ψ one can define a product

$$\pi^{a,b}_{\varphi,\psi} : \mathrm{RFH}^a_i(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W) \otimes \mathrm{RFH}^b_j(\mathcal{A}_\psi, W) \to \mathrm{RFH}^{a+b}_{i+j-n}(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi\psi}, W). \tag{2.56}$$

The product $\pi_{\varphi,\psi}$ is compatible with the action filtration in the sense that for all $A \in \mathrm{RFH}^a_*(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W)$ and $B \in \mathrm{RFH}^b_*(\mathcal{A}_\psi, W)$ one has

$$j^{a+b}_{\varphi\psi}(\pi^{a,b}_{\varphi,\psi}(A, B)) = \pi_{\varphi,\psi}(j^a_\varphi(A), j^b_\psi(B)), \tag{2.57}$$

where as before we abbreviate $\pi_{\varphi,\psi} := \pi^{\infty,\infty}_{\varphi,\psi}$. Moreover the product $\pi_{\varphi,\psi}$ is related to the continuation homomorphisms $\zeta_\varphi, \zeta_\psi$ via

$$\pi_{\varphi,\psi}(\zeta_\varphi(A), \zeta_\psi(B)) = \zeta_{\varphi\psi}(\pi(A, B)) \quad A, B \in \mathrm{RFH}_*(\Sigma, W) \tag{2.58}$$

We define the 'unit' $\mathbf{1}_\varphi \in \mathrm{RFH}_n(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W)$ by

$$\mathbf{1}_\varphi = \zeta_\varphi(\mathbf{1}), \tag{2.59}$$

(note though that for $\varphi \neq \mathrm{id}_\Sigma$, $\mathbf{1}_\varphi$ is not strictly a unit in any sense of the word!) Note that

$$\zeta_{\varphi,\psi}(\mathbf{1}_\varphi) = \mathbf{1}_\psi, \tag{2.60}$$

and

$$\pi_{\varphi,\psi}(\mathbf{1}_\varphi, \mathbf{1}_\psi) = \mathbf{1}_{\varphi\psi}. \tag{2.61}$$

3. Spectral invariants and orderability

Throughout this section we require Assumption **(A)** from the Introduction to hold. More precisely, recall we say that a closed connected coorientable contact manifold (Σ, ξ) satisfies Assumption **(A)** if:

(A): (Σ, ξ) admits a Liouville filling $(W, d\lambda)$ such that $\alpha := \lambda|_\Sigma$ is Morse-Bott and the Rabinowitz Floer homology $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\Sigma, W)$ is non-zero.

Definition 3.1. Let φ denote a non-degenerate path. We define its *spectral number* by

$$c(\varphi) := \inf \left\{ a \in \mathbb{R} \colon \mathbf{1}_\varphi \in j^a_\varphi(\mathrm{RFH}^a_*(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W)) \right\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then we have:

Lemma 3.2. *$c(\varphi)$ is a finite real number, and moreover*

$$c(\varphi) \in \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi). \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Assumption **(A)** implies that $c(\varphi) > -\infty$. The fact that $c(\varphi)$ is a critical value of $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ follows immediately from the fact (stated in (2) above) that the map $j^b_a : \mathrm{RFH}^a_*(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W) \to \mathrm{RFH}^b_*(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W)$ is an isomorphism if $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi) \cap [a, b] = \emptyset$. ☐

We now have the following *Lipschitz continuity property* for the spectral invariant $c(\varphi)$:

Lemma 3.3. *For two non-degenerate paths φ, ψ, one has*

$$c(\psi) \le c(\varphi) + K(\varphi, \psi), \tag{3.3}$$

and thus in particular $\varphi \mapsto c(\varphi)$ is Lipschitz continuous with respect to the C^0-norm on $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$.

Proof. If $j^a_\varphi(A) = \mathbf{1}_\varphi$ then by (2.49)

$$j^{a+K(\varphi,\psi)}_\psi \left(\zeta^a_{\varphi,\psi}(A) \right) = \zeta_{\varphi,\psi} \left(j^a_\varphi(A) \right) = \zeta_{\varphi,\psi}(\mathbf{1}_\varphi) = \mathbf{1}_\psi. \tag{3.4}$$

This proves that $c(\psi) \le c(\varphi) + K(\varphi, \psi)$. ☐

Lemma 3.3, together with the fact that a generic path φ is non-degenerate, allows us to extend the definition of c to degenerate paths φ:

Definition 3.4. Let φ denote a degenerate path. Pick a sequence φ^ν of non-degenerate paths φ^ν with $\varphi^\nu \to \varphi$. Then we define

$$c(\varphi) := \lim_\nu c(\varphi^\nu). \tag{3.5}$$

Note that in the degenerate case we still have $c(\varphi) \in \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi)$.

Remark 3.5. It follows from (2.52) that

$$c(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

More generally, we have:

Lemma 3.6. *For any $T \in \mathbb{R}$ one has*

$$c(\theta^T) = -T. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. One has $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\theta^T}) = -T + \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\theta^0})$. Since by assumption $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\theta^0})$ is nowhere dense, the result follows from continuity of c and the fact that $c(\theta^0) = c(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma) = 0$. ☐

Recall that the universal cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ of $\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ consists of equivalence classes of paths where two paths $\{\varphi_t\}_{0\le t\le 1}$ and $\{\psi_t\}_{0\le t\le 1}$ with $\varphi_1=\psi_1$are equivalent if there exists a smooth family $\varphi^s=\{\varphi^s_t\}_{0\le s,t\le 1}$ such that $\varphi^0=\varphi$, $\varphi^1=\psi$, and φ^s_1 is independent of s.

Corollary 3.7. *If φ and ψ are equivalent then $c(\varphi)=c(\psi)$. Thus c descends to define a map (still denoted by)*

$$c:\widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi)\to\mathbb{R}. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Let $\{\varphi^s\}_{0\le s\le 1}=\{\varphi^s_t\}_{0\le s,t\le 1}\subseteq\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ denote a smooth family such that $\varphi^0=\varphi$, $\varphi^1=\psi$, and φ^s_1 is independent of s. Note that $c(\varphi^s)\in\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi^s})$, which depends only on φ^s_1 by Remark 2.11. Moreover Lemma 3.3 implies that $s\mapsto c(\varphi^s)$ is continuous. There exists $T>0$ such that $c(\varphi^s)\in[-T,T]$ for all $s\in[0,1]$, and hence in the discrete set $\mathrm{Spec}^{[-T,T]}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi)$ (cf. Lemma 2.14). Thus $c(\varphi^s)$ does not depend on s. □

The following 'triangle inequality' is what makes c a useful function.

Lemma 3.8. *Given $\varphi,\psi\in\widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ one has*

$$c(\varphi\psi)\le c(\varphi)+c(\psi). \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. In the non-degenerate case we argue as follows: if $j^a_\varphi(A)=\mathbf{1}_\varphi$ and $j^b_\psi(B)=\mathbf{1}_\psi$ then $C:=\pi^{a,b}_{\varphi,\psi}(A,B)$ belongs to $\mathrm{RFH}^{a+b}_*(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi\psi},W)$, and by (2.57) and (2.61) we have

$$j^{a+b}_{\varphi\psi}(C)=\pi_{\varphi,\psi}(j^a_\varphi(A),j^b_\psi(B))=\pi_{\varphi,\psi}(\mathbf{1}_\varphi,\mathbf{1}_\psi)=\mathbf{1}_{\varphi\psi}. \tag{3.10}$$

The general case now follows from an approximation argument. □

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose $\varphi,\psi\in\widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$. Suppose the contact Hamiltonian of φ is h_t, and the contact Hamiltonian of ψ is k_t. Define smooth positive functions ρ_t and σ_t via $\varphi^*_t\alpha=\rho_t\alpha$ and $\psi^*_t\alpha=\sigma_t\alpha$. If for all $t\in[0,1]$ one has*

$$\frac{h_t\circ\varphi_t}{\rho_t}\ge\frac{k_t\circ\psi_t}{\sigma_t} \tag{3.11}$$

then

$$c(\varphi)\le c(\psi). \tag{3.12}$$

Moreover if the inequality (3.11) is strict then so is (3.12).

Proof. Set $\mu_t:=\varphi_t\psi_t^{-1}$. It suffices to prove the result under the assumption that φ,ψ and μ are all non-degenerate. and let l_t denote the contact Hamiltonian of μ. By part (2) of Lemma 2.2, one has

$$l_t(x):=h_t(x)-\rho_t(\varphi_t^{-1}(x))\frac{k_t(\psi_t(\varphi_t^{-1}(x)))}{\sigma_t(\varphi_t^{-1}(x))}. \tag{3.13}$$

Lemma 3.8 implies that

$$c(\varphi)=c(\mu\psi)\le c(\mu)+c(\psi), \tag{3.14}$$

and (3.3) implies that

$$c(\mu)\le c(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma)+K(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma,\mu), \tag{3.15}$$

and hence $c(\mu)\le 0$ if $K(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma,\mu)=0$. Finally $K(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma,\mu)=0$ if $l_t\ge 0$ (cf. (2.48)), that is,

$$h_t(x)\sigma_t(\varphi_t^{-1}(x))\ge\rho_t(\varphi_t^{-1}(x))k_t(\psi_t(\varphi_t^{-1}(x))), \tag{3.16}$$

which is equivalent to (3.11). Now suppose the inequality (3.11) is strict. Choose

$$0 < \varepsilon_0 < \min_{(t,x)\in S^1\times\Sigma}\left(\frac{h_t(\varphi_t(x))}{\rho_t(x)} - \frac{k_t(\psi_t(x))}{\sigma_t(x)}\right), \tag{3.17}$$

and choose

$$0 < \varepsilon_1 < \min_{(t,x)\in S^1\times\Sigma} \sigma_t(x). \tag{3.18}$$

Set

$$\varepsilon := \varepsilon_0\varepsilon_1, \tag{3.19}$$

and consider the exact contactomorphism $t \mapsto \theta^{\varepsilon t}$, which has contact Hamiltonian ε and satisfies $c(\theta^\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon$ by Lemma 3.6. If we write $\mu_t^*\alpha = \tau_t\alpha$ then from part (2) of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\tau_t(x) = \frac{\rho_t\left(\psi_t^{-1}(x)\right)}{\sigma_t\left(\psi_t^{-1}(x)\right))}, \tag{3.20}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{l_t(\mu_t(x))}{\tau_t(x)} &= \frac{h_t(\mu_t(x)) - \rho_t(\psi_t^{-1}(x))\frac{k_t(x)}{\sigma_t(\psi_t^{-1}(x))}}{\frac{\rho_t\left(\psi_t^{-1}(x)\right)}{\sigma_t\left(\psi_t^{-1}(x)\right))},}\\ &= \frac{h_t(\mu_t(x))\sigma_t(\psi_t^{-1}(x)) - \rho_t(\psi_t^{-1}(x))k_t(x)}{\rho_t(\psi_t^{-1}(x))}\\ &\geq \left(\min_{(t,y)\in S^1\times\Sigma}\sigma_t(y)\right)\left(\min_{(s,z)\in S^1\times\Sigma}\left(\frac{h_s(\varphi_s(z))}{\rho_s(z)} - \frac{k_s(\psi_s(z))}{\sigma_s(z)}\right)\right)\\ &> \varepsilon_0\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Thus from what we have already proved,

$$c(\mu) \leq c(\vartheta) = -\varepsilon,$$

and hence

$$c(\varphi) = c(\varphi\psi^{-1}\psi) \leq c(\mu) + c(\psi) \leq -\varepsilon + c(\psi), \tag{3.22}$$

which completes the proof. □

By taking either $\varphi = \mathrm{id}_\Sigma$ or $\psi = \mathrm{id}_\Sigma$ in Proposition 3.9 we obtain the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 3.10. *Suppose that* $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ *is generated by a contact Hamiltonian* h_t *with* $h_t > 0$. *Then* $c(\varphi) < 0$. *Similarly if* $h_t < 0$ *then* $c(\varphi) > 0$.

We have now completed the proof of Theorem 1.1 from the Introduction. Given a path φ of contactomorphisms, we define the *support* of φ,

$$\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) := \bigcup_{0\leq t\leq 1}\mathrm{supp}(\varphi_t), \tag{3.23}$$

where $\mathrm{supp}(\varphi_t) := \overline{\{x \in \Sigma \mid \varphi_t(x) \neq x\}}$.

Definition 3.11. For an open set $U \subset \Sigma$ we set

$$c(U) := \sup\left\{c(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi),\ \mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subset U\right\} \in (-\infty,\infty]. \tag{3.24}$$

Example 3.12. By Example 4.4 one has immediately that $c(\Sigma) = \infty$.

Let us show that $c(U) > 0$ for any non-empty set $U \subset \Sigma$.

Proposition 3.13. *Given any open set $U \subset \Sigma$, there exists $\psi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ such that $\mathfrak{S}(\psi) \subset U$ and $c(\psi) > 0$.*

Proof. We prove the proposition in three steps.

Step 1. We use an idea from Sandon [San12a, p2]. Fix a C^2-small function $b : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$. We use b to build a contactomorphism $\Psi : T^*\Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \to T^*\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$, where the 1-jet bundle $T^*\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ is equipped with the standard contact form $\lambda_0 + d\tau$ and $\lambda_0 = pdx$ in local coordinates. Namely, we set

$$\Psi(x, p, \tau) = (x, p - db(x), \tau + b(x)). \tag{3.25}$$

Note that critical points of b are in 1-1 correspondence with Reeb chords between the two Legendrians $\Sigma \times \{0\}$ and $\Psi(\Sigma \times \{0\})$ (where $\Sigma \subset T^*\Sigma$ is the zero section). Since b is assumed to be C^2-small, Ψ determines a contactomorphism of ψ of (Σ, α), defined as follows. Firstly, Weinstein's neighborhood theorem for Legendrian submanifolds (see [AH, Theorem 2.2.4]) implies that there is an exact contactomorphism

$$\Xi : N \times (-\delta, \delta) \to Q \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \tag{3.26}$$

between a neighborhood $N \times (-\delta, \delta)$ of $\Sigma \times \{0\}$ inside $T^*\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ and a neighborhood $Q \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ of $\Delta \times \{0\}$ inside $\Sigma \times \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$, where Δ is the diagonal in $\Sigma \times \Sigma$. Here $\Sigma \times \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ is equipped with the contact form $e^r \mathrm{pr}_1^*\alpha - \mathrm{pr}_2^*\alpha$, where pr_j is the projection onto the jth factor. The contactomorphism ψ is then defined by looking at the restriction of $\Xi \circ \Psi \circ \Xi^{-1}$ to $\Delta \times \{0\}$ inside $Q \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$; we can write

$$\Xi \circ \Psi \circ \Xi^{-1}(x, x, 0) =: (x, \psi(x), \log \rho(x)), \tag{3.27}$$

for $\psi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ and $\rho : \Sigma \to (0, \infty)$. One readily checks that $\psi^*\alpha = \rho\alpha$, and hence ψ is a contactomorphism.

Similarly, if we start with an isotopy $\{b_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ with $b_0 = 0$ then we obtain a path $\psi = \{\psi_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ of contactomorphisms with $\psi_0 = \mathrm{id}_\Sigma$. In this case one can check that the contact Hamiltonian of ψ is $-b_t$:

$$\alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_t\right) = -b_t \circ \psi_t. \tag{3.28}$$

The key point now is that the translated points $x \in \Sigma$ of ψ_1 with time-shift $\eta \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ are in 1-1 correspondence with the critical points of b_1: if $x \in \mathrm{crit}(b_1)$ then

$$\psi(x) = \theta^{-b_1(x)}(x). \tag{3.29}$$

Thus for each $x \in \mathrm{crit}(b_1)$ there is a critical point $(u_x, b_1(x)) \in \mathrm{crit}(\mathcal{A}_\psi)$, and any critical point (u, η) of $\mathcal{A}_\psi$ not of this form necessarily satisfies $|\eta| > \varepsilon$.

Step 2. Suppose now that we start with a C^2-small Morse-Bott function b on Σ. Define $b_t := tb$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, and let $\psi = \{\psi_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ denote the corresponding path of contactomorphisms. Then if $x \in \mathrm{crit}(b)$ then the critical point $(u_x, b(x))$ belongs to a Morse-Bott component of $\mathcal{A}_\psi$, and moreover we claim that

$$\mu(u_x, b(x)) = 1 - n + \mathrm{ind}_b(x), \tag{3.30}$$

where $\mathrm{ind}_b(x)$ denotes the maximal dimension of a subspace on which the Hessian $\mathrm{Hess}_b(x)$ of b at x is *strictly* negative definite.

To see this, we consider the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism Φ of $T^*\Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ obtained by lifting Ψ, which as Ψ is exact, is given simply by

$$\Phi(q, p, \tau, \sigma) = (\Psi(q, p, \tau), \sigma)\,. \tag{3.31}$$

A translated point x of ψ_1 gives rise to the following path of Lagrangian subspaces:

$$L_t := \{(\hat{x}, -t\mathrm{Hess}_b(x)(\hat{x}), 0, \hat{\sigma}) \mid \hat{x} \in T_x\Sigma,\ \hat{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset T_{(x,0,0,\sigma)}(T^*\Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}), \tag{3.32}$$

The desired index is then given by

$$\mu(u_x, b(x)) = 1 - n + \mu_{\mathrm{RS}}(L_0, L_1), \tag{3.33}$$

which in this case is just $1 - n + \mathrm{ind}_b(x)$ as claimed; note that the $1 - n$ summand comes from the normalization used in the definition of the Rabinowitz index (2.38) above, and we are using the grading convention from Remark 2.22.

Step 3. We now prove the theorem. Suppose $U \subset \Sigma$ is open. Choose a function $b : \Sigma \to [0, \infty)$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(b) \subset U$ and such that b is Morse on the interior of its support. Moreover we insist that b has a unique maximum $x_0 \in \Sigma$, with $0 < b(x_{\max}) < \varepsilon$, where ε is as in (3.26). Finally, we insist that b is sufficiently C^2-small so that the corresponding contactomorphism $\psi = \{\psi_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ is defined and satisfies

$$K(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma, \psi) < \varepsilon. \tag{3.34}$$

From Lemma 3.3 we obtain

$$c(\psi) \le c(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma) + K(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma, \psi) < \varepsilon. \tag{3.35}$$

Since the contact Hamiltonian of ψ is $-b$ which is non-positive, one has $c(\psi) \ge 0$ by Corollary 3.10. Thus $c(\psi)$ is necessarily a critical value of b. Since $\mathbf{1}_\psi$ has index n, and $x_{\max}$ is the only critical point of b of index $2n-1$ (so that the corresponding critical point $(u_{x_{\max}}, b(x_{\max}))$ has index $1 - n + 2n - 1 = n$), we see that

$$c(\psi) = \mathcal{A}_\psi(u_{x_{\max}}, b(x_{\max})) = b(x_{\max}) > 0. \tag{3.36}$$

The proof is complete. □

Remark 3.14. It is *not* true that one can always find $\psi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ such that $\mathfrak{S}(\psi) \subset U$ and $c(\psi) < 0$ - see Lemma 3.15 below. One can also see directly from the preceding proof that the argument would completely break down: if we tried replaced $x_{\max}$ with a minimum $x_{\min}$ of b then the corresponding critical point $(u_{x_{\min}}, b(x_{\min}))$ would no longer have the correct index.

Lemma 3.15. *Suppose $U \subset \Sigma$ is an open set with the property that there exists $\psi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ satisfying*

$$\psi_1(U) \cap \left(\bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \theta^t(U) \right) = \emptyset. \tag{3.37}$$

Then $0 \le c(\varphi) \le c(\psi) + c(\psi^{-1})$ for every φ with support in U.

Proof. Suppose $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ satisfies $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subset U$. We claim that

$$c(\psi\varphi) = c(\psi). \tag{3.38}$$

Indeed, any translated point $x \in \Sigma$ of ψ must necessarily lie outside of U (due to (3.37)), and since $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subset U$ the same is true of $\psi\varphi$. Thus $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\psi\varphi}) = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\psi)$. But now if we choose a path $\varphi^s = \{\varphi^s_t\}_{0 \le s,t \le 1}$ such that $\varphi^0 = \mathrm{id}_\Sigma$ and $\varphi^1 = \varphi$ with $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi^s) \subset U$ then the same argument shows that $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\psi\varphi^s}) = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\psi)$ for all s. Thus $s \mapsto c(\psi\varphi^s)$ is a continuous function on the discrete set $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\psi)$ (cf. Lemma 2.14), and thus $c(\psi\varphi^s)$ is constant. This proves (3.38).

To see that $c(U) \le c(\psi) + c(\psi^{-1})$, we note that for φ with $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subset U$ one has

$$c(\varphi) = c(\psi^{-1}\psi\varphi) \le c(\psi^{-1}) + c(\psi\varphi) = c(\psi^{-1}) + c(\psi). \tag{3.39}$$

Finally to see that $c(\varphi) \ge 0$ for all φ with $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subset U$. We apply (3.38) to φ^{-1}, which also satisfies $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi^{-1}) \subset U$. Then we have

$$c(\psi) = c(\psi\varphi^{-1}\varphi) \le c(\psi\varphi^{-1}) + c(\varphi) = c(\psi) + c(\varphi), \tag{3.40}$$

which implies that $c(\varphi) \ge 0$ as claimed. $\square$

We now present a version of Corollary 3.10 for contactomorphisms $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi)$ contained in some open set.

Corollary 3.16. *Suppose* $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ *has contact Hamiltonian* h_t. *If* $h_t(\varphi_t(x)) < 0$ *whenever* $\varphi_t(x) \ne x$ *then* $c(\varphi) > 0$.

Proof. We need a slight extension of the argument used in the proof of Proposition 3.13. Suppose $\{b_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ is a family of C^2-small functions $b_t : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $b_0 = \mathrm{id}_\Sigma$ and such that b_1 is Morse on the interior of its support, with a unique maximum $x_{\max}$. We denote by $\psi^b = \{\psi_t^b\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ the contact isotopy with contact Hamiltonian $-b_t$. Similarly we denote by $\psi^{b_1} = \{\psi_t^{b_1}\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ the contact isotopy with contact Hamiltonian $-tb_1$. In the proof of Proposition 3.13 we showed that $c(\psi^{b_1}) = b_1(x_{\max})$. Now let us note that as the family $\{b_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ is homotopic to $\{tb_1\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$, the usual invariance argument (cf. Corollary 3.7) shows that $c(\psi^b) = c(\psi^{b_1})$, and hence we still have

$$c(\psi^b) = b_1(x_{\max}) > 0. \tag{3.41}$$

Now we prove the Corollary. Let $\rho_t : \Sigma \to (0, \infty)$ denote the smooth positive function defined by $\varphi_t^*\alpha = \rho_t\alpha$. Choose as above a family $\{b_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ of C^2-small functions $b_t : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $b_0 = \mathrm{id}_\Sigma$ and such that b_1 is Morse on the interior of its support, with a unique maximum $x_{\max}$, together with the additional property that if $\sigma_t^b : \Sigma \to (0, \infty)$ is defined by $(\psi_t^b)^*\alpha = \sigma_t^b\alpha$, where ψ^b is as above, then

$$\frac{-b_t \circ \psi_t^b}{\sigma_t^b} \ge \frac{h_t \circ \varphi_t}{\rho_t}. \tag{3.42}$$

This is certainly possible as $h_t < 0$ on the interior of the support of $\mathrm{supp}(\varphi_t)$. Then by Corollary 3.9 and (3.41) we have $c(\varphi) \ge b_1(x_{\max}) > 0$. $\square$

We have now completed the proof of Proposition (1.8) from the Introduction.Unfortunately this is as far as one can take the argument of Proposition 3.9 for the case of contactomorphisms supported in a set U. The point is that the proof of Proposition 3.9 used the Reeb flow $\theta^{\varepsilon t}$ for small ε, which has $c(\theta^\varepsilon) < 0$. In order to extend Proposition 3.9 to this setting we would need to be able to construct (for any $\varepsilon > 0$) a contactomorphism φ with support in U such that, writing $\varphi_t^*\alpha = \rho_t\alpha$ and denoting the contact Hamiltonian of φ by h_t, that

$$0 \le \sup_{(t,x) \in S^1 \times \Sigma} \frac{h_t(\varphi_t(x))}{\rho_t(x)} < \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad c(\varphi) < 0. \tag{3.43}$$

In general there is no way to do this, and indeed Lemma 3.15 shows that for some open sets U no such contactomorphisms φ exist. See also Remark 3.20 below.

Remark 3.17. In general we do not know whether the homology class $\mathbf{1}_\varphi \in \mathrm{RFH}_n(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W)$ is necessarily represented by critical points of $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ with image contained in $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi)$. In some circumstances this cannot happen however. Since from Lemma 3.3 one always has $c(\varphi) \le K(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma, \varphi)$, if $K(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma,\varphi) < T$ then (x,T) can definitely not contribute to $\mathbf{1}_\varphi$. In particular, if $K(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma,\varphi) < \wp_W(\Sigma,\alpha)$, where $\wp_W(\Sigma,\alpha)$ was defined in Definition 1.9, then no closed Reeb orbits outside the support of φ can contribute to $\mathbf{1}_\varphi$. In fact, this statement can be improved by making use of Corollary 2.20. Since $K(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma,\varphi) \le e^{\kappa(\varphi)}\,\|\varphi\|$ (see (2.48)), by identifying Σ with $\Sigma \times \{w\}$ inside $S\Sigma$ instead of $\Sigma \times \{1\}$, then for $w \gg 0$ we may assume that the corresponding constant $K_w(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma,\varphi)$ satisfies $K_w(\mathrm{id}_\Sigma,\varphi) < \wp_W(\Sigma, w\alpha)$. We summarize this in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.18. *Suppose $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ satisfies $\|\varphi\| < \wp_W(\Sigma,\alpha)$ and is supported in an open set $U \subset \Sigma$. Then after identifying Σ with $\Sigma\times\{w\}$ instead of $\Sigma\times\{1\}$ in W for some $w \gg 0$, the unit $\mathbf{1}_\varphi$ is necessarily represented by critical points of $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ with image contained in U.*

Proposition 3.19. *Suppose $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ has support in an open set $U \subset \Sigma$. Denote the contact Hamiltonian of φ_t by h_t, and assume that $h_t(\varphi_t(x)) < 0$ whenever $\varphi_t(x) \ne x$. Assume either that*

1. *One has $\|h\|_{\mathrm{osc}} < \wp_W(\Sigma,\alpha)$ and $c(U) < \infty$.*
2. *There exists $\psi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ with $\psi(U) \cap \big(\bigcup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \theta^t(U)\big) = \emptyset$ and $\|\psi\| < \wp_W(\Sigma,\alpha)$.*

Then

$$c(\varphi^{\nu-1}) < c(\varphi^\nu) \quad \textit{for all } \nu \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.44}$$

Proof. Let us first assume that case (1) holds. We then perform a rescaling so that Lemma 3.18 holds for every iterate φ^ν. For clarity however we will suppress this rescaling for the remainder of the proof. Write $\varphi_t^*\alpha = \rho_t\alpha$ and write $(\varphi_t^\nu)^*\alpha = \rho_t^\nu\alpha$. Let h_t^ν denote the contact Hamiltonian of φ^ν. Then using part (1) of Lemma 2.2, one easily checks that

$$\rho_t^\nu(x) = \rho_t(\varphi_t^{\nu-1}(x))\rho_t^{\nu-1}(x) \tag{3.45}$$

and

$$h_t^\nu(x) = h_t^{\nu-1}(x) + \rho_t(\varphi_t^{-1}(x))h_t^{\nu-1}(\varphi_t^{-1}(x)). \tag{3.46}$$

We now prove by induction that

$$h_t^\nu(\varphi_t^\nu(x)) < 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad \varphi_t(x) \ne x. \tag{3.47}$$

By assumption this is true for $\nu = 1$. Since

$$h_t^\nu(\varphi_t^\nu(x)) = h_t^{\nu-1}(\varphi_t^\nu(x)) + \rho_t(\varphi_t^{\nu-1}(x))h_t^{\nu-1}(\varphi_t^{\nu-1}(x)). \tag{3.48}$$

The first term on the right-hand side in (3.48) is certainly non-negative, and if $\varphi_t(x) \ne x$ then by induction then second term on the right-hand side in (3.48) is strictly negative. This completes the inductive step, and hence (3.47) is proved. Proposition 3.9 tells us that $c(\varphi^{\nu-1}) \le c(\varphi^\nu)$ for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, since

$$\frac{h_t^\nu(\varphi_t^\nu(x))}{\rho_t^\nu(x)} = \frac{h_t^{\nu-1}(\varphi_t^{\nu-1}(x))}{\rho_t^{\nu-1}(x)} + \frac{h_t(\varphi_t^\nu(x))}{\rho_t^\nu(x)}, \tag{3.49}$$

and thus

$$\frac{h_t^{\nu-1}(\varphi_t^{\nu-1}(x))}{\rho_t^{\nu-1}(x)} - \frac{h_t^\nu(\varphi_t^\nu(x))}{\rho_t^\nu(x)} = -\frac{h_t(\varphi_t^\nu(x))}{\rho_t^\nu(x)} \ge 0. \tag{3.50}$$

It remains to prove that the inequality is strict. Fix $\delta > 0$ and define

$$U_\delta(\varphi_t) := \{x \in \operatorname{supp}(\varphi_t) \mid \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\operatorname{supp}(\varphi_t)) > \delta\}\,, \tag{3.51}$$

where dist is taken with respect to some metric on Σ. For $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small the set $U_\delta(\varphi_t)$ is an open subset of Σ varying smoothly in t which is non-empty for $t > 0$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $k_t^{\delta,\varepsilon} : \Sigma \to [0, \varepsilon]$ denote a smooth function such that $k_t^{\delta,\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon$ for all $x \in U_\delta(\varphi_t)$ and such that $\operatorname{supp}(k_t^{\delta,\varepsilon}) \subset \operatorname{supp}(\varphi_t)$. We may assume that $k_t^{\delta,\varepsilon}$ is also smooth in t, and that as $\varepsilon \to 0$ one has $k_t^{\delta,\varepsilon} \to 0$ in the C^∞-topology. Thus from (3.47), if $\varepsilon > 0$ is chosen sufficiently small, we have

$$k_t^{\delta,\varepsilon}(x) + 2h_t^\nu(\varphi_t^\nu(x)) \le 0 \quad \text{for all } (t,x) \in [0,1] \times \Sigma. \tag{3.52}$$

Moreover if $\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon} \in \widetilde{\operatorname{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ denotes the contactomorphism with contact Hamiltonian $k_t^{\delta,\varepsilon}$ then for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small the function $\sigma_t^{\delta,\varepsilon} : \Sigma \to (0, \infty)$, defined by $(\psi_t^{\delta,\varepsilon})^*\alpha = \sigma_t^{\delta,\varepsilon}\alpha$, satisfies

$$\sigma_t^{\delta,\varepsilon}(x) \le 2 \quad \text{for all } (t,x) \in [0,1] \times \Sigma. \tag{3.53}$$

We now claim that choosing $\delta > 0$ and then $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, so that both (3.52) and (3.53) hold then,

$$c(\varphi^{\nu-1}) \le c(\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon}\varphi^\nu).$$

Using part (1) of Lemma 2.2 again, we see that the contact Hamiltonian $l_t(x)$ of $\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon}\varphi^\nu$ is given by

$$l_t(x) = k^{\delta,\varepsilon}(x) + \sigma_t^{\delta,\varepsilon}\left((\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon})^{-1}(x)\right) h_t\left((\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon})^{-1}(x)\right), \tag{3.54}$$

and that

$$(\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon}\varphi_t^\nu)^*\alpha = \tau_t\alpha, \tag{3.55}$$

where

$$\tau_t(x) = \sigma_t^{\delta,\varepsilon}(\varphi_t^\nu(x))\rho_t^\nu(x). \tag{3.56}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{l_t(\psi_t^{\delta,\varepsilon}\varphi_t^\nu(x))}{\tau_t(x)} &= \frac{k^{\delta,\varepsilon}(\psi_t^{\delta,\varepsilon}\varphi_t^\nu(x))}{\sigma_t^{\delta,\varepsilon}(\varphi_t^\nu(x))\rho_t^\nu(x)} + \frac{h_t^\nu(\varphi_t^\nu(x))}{\rho_t^\nu(x)}. \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho_t(x)\sigma_t^{\delta,\varepsilon}(\varphi_t^\nu(x))}\left(k_t^{\delta,\varepsilon}(x) + \sigma_t^{\delta,\varepsilon}(\varphi_t^\nu(x))h_t^\nu(\varphi_t^\nu(x))\right). && (3.57) \\ &\le 0, && (3.58) \end{aligned}$$

where we are using both (3.52) and (3.53). Thus from (3.50), we see that

$$c(\varphi^{\nu-1}) \le c(\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon}\varphi^\nu) \tag{3.59}$$

as required. Next,

$$c(\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon}\varphi^\nu) \le c(\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon}) + c(\varphi^\nu) \le c(\varphi^\nu), \tag{3.60}$$

since $c(\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon}) \le 0$ as $k^{\delta,\varepsilon} \ge 0$. Now write

$$\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi^\nu}) = \operatorname{Spec}'(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi^\nu}) \cup \operatorname{Spec}''(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi^\nu}), \tag{3.61}$$

where

$$\operatorname{Spec}'(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi^\nu}) := \{\mathcal{A}_{\varphi^\nu}(u, \eta) \mid (u, \eta) \in \operatorname{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi^\nu}),\ u(0), u(1/2) \in U_\delta(\varphi_1)\}\,, \tag{3.62}$$

$$\operatorname{Spec}''(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi^\nu}) := \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi^\nu}) \backslash \operatorname{Spec}'(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi^\nu}). \tag{3.63}$$

Since $c(\varphi^{\nu-1}) > 0$ by Proposition 3.9, we see that for $\delta > 0$ small enough one has $c(\varphi^{\nu}) \in \mathrm{Spec}'(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi^{\nu}})$ and $|c(\varphi^{\nu}) - c| > \delta$ for all $c \in \mathrm{Spec}''(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi^{\nu}})$. Note here we are using the fact that Lemma 3.18 holds for φ^{ν} (as established at the beginning of the proof). Now for the punchline: on $U_{\delta}(\varphi_t)$, $\psi_t^{\delta,\varepsilon}$ is just the Reeb flow $\theta^{\varepsilon t}$, and for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, if $\mathrm{Spec}'(\mathcal{A}_{\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon}\varphi^{\nu}})$ is defined in the same way then

$$\mathrm{Spec}'(\mathcal{A}_{\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon}\varphi^{\nu}}) = \left\{ c - \varepsilon \mid c \in \mathrm{Spec}'(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi^{\nu}}) \right\}. \tag{3.64}$$

We can't really say much about $\mathrm{Spec}''(\mathcal{A}_{\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon}\varphi^{\nu}})$, apart from that it must be very close (in the Hausdorff topology) to $\mathrm{Spec}''(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi^{\nu}})$. However, it follows that provided we choose both δ and ε small enough, one has $c(\varphi^{\nu}) \in \mathrm{Spec}'(\mathcal{A}_{\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon}\varphi^{\nu}})$ and $\mathrm{Spec}'(\mathcal{A}_{\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon}\varphi^{\nu}}) \cap \mathrm{Spec}'(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi^{\nu}}) = \emptyset$, and hence

$$c(\varphi^{\nu}) \notin \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon}\varphi^{\nu}}). \tag{3.65}$$

Thus we see that for $\delta, \varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$c(\varphi^{\nu-1}) \le c(\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon}\varphi^{\nu}) < c(\varphi^{\nu}), \tag{3.66}$$

which completes the proof in case (1). The proof in case (2) is very similar, and makes use of Lemma 3.15 and (2.48). □

Remark 3.20. One should note that it is not at all clear what the translated points of $\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon}$ are, since we have no control over the behaviour of $k^{\delta,\varepsilon}$ on $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \backslash U_{\delta}$. In Appendix A we show how, in the periodic case, one can construct a variant ϑ^{ε} of the contactomorphism $\psi^{\delta,\varepsilon}$ which from the point of view of translated points, really is a 'compactly supported Reeb flow'. In this case it is possible to compute the spectral value precisely, and one gets $c(\vartheta^{\varepsilon}) = -\varepsilon$ if $-1 < \varepsilon < 0$ and $c(\vartheta^{\varepsilon}) = 0$ if $\varepsilon \ge 0$ — see Theorem A.1. This highlights a distinct contrast between the compactly supported Reeb flow and the genuine Reeb flow.

We now can now prove the following result, which was stated as Corollary 1.10 in the Introduction. This argument is due to Sandon [San12b, Theorem 3.1].

Corollary 3.21. *Suppose* (Σ, ξ) *satisfies Assumption* **(A)**. *Suppose* $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ *satisfies* $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subset U$ *and assume that the contact Hamiltonian* h_t *of* Σ *satisfies* $h_t(\varphi_t(x)) < 0$ *whenever* $\varphi_t(x) \ne x$. *Assume that either:*

(1) *One has* $\|h\|_{\mathrm{osc}} < \wp_W(\Sigma, \alpha)$ *and* $c(U) < \infty$.

(2) *There exists* $\psi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ *with* $\psi(U) \cap \left(\bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \theta^t(U) \right) = \emptyset$ *and* $\|\psi\| < \wp_W(\Sigma, \alpha)$.

Then φ *has infinitely many distinct iterated translated points.*

Proof. Proposition 3.19 implies that there exists a sequence $(x_{\nu}, \eta_{\nu}) \in \Sigma \times (0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi^{\nu}(x_{\nu}) = \theta^{-\eta_{\nu}}(x_{\nu})$, with the η_{ν} pairwise disjoint. Either infinitely many of the points x_{ν} are disjoint, in which case we are done, or there exists a point $x \in \Sigma$ such that $x_{\nu} = x$ for infinitely many ν. In the latter case, set $y_{\nu} := \varphi^{\nu-1}(x)$. Then the y_{ν} are pairwise disjoint for infinitely many ν, and for infinitely many ν, y_{ν} is a translated point of φ. Here we are using the fact that if $(\varphi^{\nu})^* \alpha = \rho^{\nu} \alpha$ then using (3.45) we see that $\rho^{\nu}(x) = 1 \Rightarrow \rho(y_{\nu}) = 1$. This completes the proof. □

Finally let us prove the following result, which was given as Proposition 1.12 in the Introduction.

Proposition 3.22. *Suppose* (Σ, ξ) *satisfies Assumption* **(A)** *and that there are no closed contractible Reeb orbits. Then the value of* $c(\varphi)$ *depends only on* φ_1. *Thus the map* $c : \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi) \to \mathbb{R}$ *descends to* $\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$.

Proof. Suppose $\varphi, \psi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ satisfy $\varphi_1 = \psi_1$. Set $\mu := \varphi\psi^{-1}$. We claim that $c(\mu) = 0$. Indeed, since $\mu_1 = \mathrm{id}_\Sigma$ and there are no contractible Reeb orbits, $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\mu_1}) = \{0\}$, and thus necessarily $c(\mu) = 0$. Thus

$$c(\varphi) = c(\mu\psi) \leq c(\psi). \tag{3.67}$$

Interchanging the roles of φ and ψ proves the result. □

4. The periodic case - capacities

Let us now assume that (Σ, ξ) satisfies Assumption **(B)** from the Introduction:

(B): (Σ, ξ) admits a Liouville filling $(W, d\lambda)$ such that the Rabinowitz Floer homology $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\Sigma, W)$ is non-zero and such that $\alpha := \lambda|_\Sigma$ is periodic.

Definition 4.1. We define for $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ an integer $\overline{c}(\varphi)$ by

$$\overline{c}(\varphi) := \lceil c(\varphi) \rceil. \tag{4.1}$$

The reason periodicity is helpful is this function $\overline{c}$ is *conjugation invariant.* We will prove this shortly in Proposition 4.3 below, but to begin with we present the following lemma. Recall from Definition 2.12 that we say φ is *non-resonant* if $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi) \cap \mathbb{Z} = \emptyset$.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose φ is both resonant and degenerate with $c(\varphi) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists $\varphi^\nu \to \varphi$ such that φ^ν is resonant and non-degenerate such that for all ν sufficiently large one has $c(\varphi^\nu) = c(\varphi)$.*

Proof. Start with any sequence (φ^ν) of non-degenerate paths such that $\varphi^\nu \to \varphi$. Since φ is resonant, for each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a translated point $x^\nu \in \Sigma$ for φ^ν with time-shift η^ν such that $\eta^\nu \to 0$ and such that for all ν sufficiently large one has

$$c(\varphi^\nu) = c(\varphi) + \eta^\nu. \tag{4.2}$$

The sequence $\theta^{-\eta^\nu} \circ \varphi^\nu$ still converges to φ, and it is easy to check that $\theta^{-\eta^\nu} \circ \varphi^\nu$ is still non-degenerate, and for all ν sufficiently large one has that

$$c(\theta^{-\eta^\nu} \circ \varphi^\nu) = c(\varphi^\nu) - \eta^\nu = c(\varphi) \tag{4.3}$$

(see (3.64)) □

Proposition 4.3. *The function $\overline{c} : \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is conjugation invariant: if $\psi \in \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ and $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ then*

$$\bar{c}(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}) = \bar{c}(\varphi). \tag{4.4}$$

The triangle inequality $\overline{c}(\varphi\vartheta) \leq \overline{c}(\varphi) + \overline{c}(\vartheta)$ continues to hold for $\overline{c}$.

Proof. Assume that φ is non-resonant, that is, $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi) \cap \mathbb{Z} = \emptyset$ (see Definition 2.12). Fix $\psi \in \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$. We show that $\lceil c(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}) \rceil = \lceil c(\varphi) \rceil$. Let $\psi_s \in \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ be a path connecting id_Σ to ψ. Then we consider the map

$$s \mapsto c(\psi_s\varphi\psi_s^{-1}). \tag{4.5}$$

Lemma 3.3 implies that this map is continuous. Lemma 2.13 implies that $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\psi_s\varphi\psi_s^{-1}}) \cap \mathbb{Z} = \emptyset$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$, and hence $\lceil c(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}) \rceil = \lceil c(\varphi) \rceil$ as required.

There are now three cases to consider. Firstly, suppose that φ is resonant but that $c(\varphi) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $\psi \in \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$. Then for φ' non-resonant and sufficiently close to φ we have

$$\overline{c}(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}) = \overline{c}(\psi\varphi'\psi^{-1}) = \overline{c}(\varphi') = \overline{c}(\varphi), \tag{4.6}$$

where the second equality used the step above. The second case is when φ is resonant and non-degenerate, with $c(\varphi) \in \mathbb{Z}$. As before, given $\psi \in \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ we choose a path ψ_s connecting id_Σ to ψ. The key point now is that for any $s_0 \in [0,1]$, if (u_{s_0}, η_{s_0}) is a critical point of $\mathcal{A}_{\psi_{s_0}\varphi\psi_{s_0}^{-1}}$ with $\eta_{s_0} \in \mathbb{Z}$ then (u_{s_0}, η_{s_0}) is automatically non-degenerate by the last statement of Lemma 2.13. It follows that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\psi_s\varphi\varphi_s^{-1}}) \cap [c(\varphi) - \varepsilon, c(\varphi) + \varepsilon] = \{c(\varphi)\}, \tag{4.7}$$

and the result follows as above. The final case is when φ is both resonant and degenerate and $c(\varphi) \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this case we employ Lemma 4.2 to find a sequence $\varphi^\nu \to \varphi$ such that φ^ν is both resonant, non-degenerate, and such that for all large ν one has $c(\varphi^\nu) = c(\varphi)$. The argument above then implies that for any $\psi \in \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ and for all ν sufficiently large, $c(\psi\varphi^\nu\psi^{-1}) = c(\varphi^\nu)$ is an integer. Since $c(\psi\varphi^\nu\psi^{-1}) \to c(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1})$ the result follows.

The triangle inequality follows from the triangle inequality for c, and the fact that $\lceil a + b \rceil \leq \lceil a \rceil + \lceil b \rceil$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. □

Corollary 4.4. *One has* $\overline{c}(t \mapsto \theta^{tT}) = \lceil T \rceil$ *for any* $T \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Lemma 3.6. □

We now define $\overline{c}(U)$ in the same way as $c(U)$ was defined in Definition 3.11.

Definition 4.5. For an open set $U \subset \Sigma$ we define the *contact capacity*

$$\overline{c}(U) := \sup\left\{\overline{c}(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi),\ \mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subset U\right\} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}. \tag{4.8}$$

Note that $\overline{c}(U) \geq 0$ by Proposition 3.13.

Proposition 4.6. *For all* $\psi \in \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$*, one has*

$$\overline{c}(\psi(U)) = \overline{c}(U). \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. Since

$$\mathfrak{S}(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}) = \psi(\mathfrak{S}(\varphi)), \tag{4.10}$$

we conclude from Proposition 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{c}(U) &= \sup\left\{\overline{c}(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi),\ \mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subset U\right\} \\
&= \sup\left\{\overline{c}(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi),\ \psi(\mathfrak{S}(\varphi)) \subset \psi(U)\right\} \\
&= \sup\left\{\overline{c}(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi),\ \mathfrak{S}(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}) \subset \psi(U)\right\} \\
&= \sup\left\{\overline{c}(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}) \mid \varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi),\ \mathfrak{S}(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}) \subset \psi(U)\right\} \\
&= \sup\left\{\overline{c}(\mu) \mid \mu \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi),\ \mathfrak{S}(\mu) \subset \psi(U)\right\} \\
&= \overline{c}(\psi(U)).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

□

The following result will prove very useful.

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose* $\varphi, \psi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ *are such that* ψ *is non-resonant and*

$$\psi_1(\mathfrak{S}(\varphi)) \cap \mathfrak{S}(\varphi) = \emptyset. \tag{4.12}$$

Then

$$\overline{c}(\psi\varphi) = \overline{c}(\psi). \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. Let $\mu^s = \{\psi_t\varphi_{st}\}_{0\le t\le 1}$ so that $\psi\varphi = \mu^1$ and $\psi = \mu^0$. Suppose $(u,\eta) \in \mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_{\mu^s})$, and let $x := u(\frac{1}{2})$. Then

$$\psi\varphi_s(x) = \theta^{-\eta}(x) \tag{4.14}$$

(see Lemma 2.9). If $x \in \mathrm{supp}(\varphi_s)$ then $\varphi_s(x) \in \mathrm{supp}(\varphi_s)$, and hence $\psi(\varphi_s(x)) \notin \mathrm{supp}(\varphi_s)$. Thus $\varphi_s^{-1}\psi\varphi_s(x) = \psi\varphi_s(x) = \theta^{-\eta}(x)$, and so $\eta \in \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi_s^{-1}\psi\varphi_s})$. If $x \notin \mathrm{supp}(\varphi_s)$ then $\psi(x) = \theta^{-\eta}(x)$, and hence $\eta \in \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\psi)$. Thus

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\mu^s}) \subseteq \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi_s^{-1}\psi\varphi_s}) \cup \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_\psi). \tag{4.15}$$

Thus from Lemma 2.13, we see that $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\mu^s}) \cap \mathbb{Z} = \emptyset$ for all $s \in [0,1]$. Now (4.13) follows by continuity of c. □

We now give a criterion for $\overline{c}(U)$ to be finite.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $U \subseteq \Sigma$ be open. If there exists a non-resonant $\psi_1 \in \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ such that*

$$\psi_1(U) \cap U = \emptyset \tag{4.16}$$

then $\overline{c}(U) \le \overline{c}(\psi) + \overline{c}(\psi^{-1})$ for any path $\psi = \{\psi_t\}_{0\le t\le 1}$ connecting with endpoint ψ_1. Thus $\overline{c}(U) < \infty$ whenever there exists $\psi_1 \in \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ such that $\psi_1(\overline{U}) \cap \overline{U} = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let ψ be a path connecting id_Σ with ψ_1. If φ satisfies $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subset U$ then by Lemma 4.7 we have $\overline{c}(\psi\varphi) = \overline{c}(\psi)$, and hence by Proposition 4.3

$$\overline{c}(\varphi) = \overline{c}(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}) \le \overline{c}(\psi) + \overline{c}(\psi^{-1}). \tag{4.17}$$

The last statement follows from the fact that if non-resonance is a generic condition, and hence if $\psi_1(\overline{U}) \cap \overline{U} = \emptyset$ then one can find another ψ_1' which is non-resonant and satisfies $\psi_1'(U) \cap U \ne \emptyset$. □

We have now completed the proof of Theorem 1.23 from the Introduction.

Definition 4.9. We define another function $\gamma : \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ by

$$\gamma(\varphi) := |\overline{c}(\varphi)| + \left|\overline{c}(\varphi^{-1})\right|. \tag{4.18}$$

Proposition 4.10. *Suppose $\psi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ satisfies $\gamma(\psi) = 0$. Then $\psi_1 = \mathrm{id}_\Sigma$.*

Proof. If $\psi_1 \ne \mathrm{id}_\Sigma$ then since $c(\psi) = 0$ we must have $\psi_1 \ne \theta^T$ for all $T \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus there exists an open set $U \subset \Sigma$ with the property that $\psi_1(U) \cap \left(\bigcup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \theta^t(U)\right) = \emptyset$. Thus Lemma 3.15 implies that $c(\psi) + c(\psi^{-1}) \ge c(U) > 0$, which contradicts the fact that $\gamma(\psi) = 0$. □

Definition 4.11. Define $\hat{\gamma} : \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ by setting

$$\hat{\gamma}(\psi) := \inf\left\{\gamma(\varphi) \mid \varphi = \{\varphi_t\}_{0\le t\le 1} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi),\, \varphi_1 = \psi\right\}. \tag{4.19}$$

Proposition 4.12. *For any $\psi \ne \mathrm{id}_\Sigma$ one has $\hat{\gamma}(\psi) > 0$.*

Proof. Bi-invariance implies that $\hat{\gamma}^{-1}(0)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$. A theorem due to Rybicki [Ryb10] tells us that $\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ is a *simple* group, and thus it suffices to show that there exists *some* $\psi \in \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ with $\hat{\gamma}(\psi) > 0$. This is clear by Proposition 4.10 and the fact that γ is integer valued. □

We conclude this section by using $\hat{\gamma}$ to define a bi-invariant metric $\mathrm{d}_{\hat{\gamma}}$ on $\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$. The following result was stated as Theorem 1.19 in the Introduction.

Theorem 4.13. *Define*

$$\mathrm{d}_{\hat{\gamma}} : \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi) \times \mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \tag{4.20}$$

by

$$\mathrm{d}_{\hat{\gamma}}(\varphi,\psi) = \hat{\gamma}(\varphi\psi^{-1}). \tag{4.21}$$

Then $\mathrm{d}_{\hat{\gamma}}$ *is a well defined bi-invariant metric on* $\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$. *Similarly if one defines*

$$\mathrm{d}_{\gamma} : \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi) \times \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \tag{4.22}$$

in the same way, but using γ *instead of* $\hat{\gamma}$, *then* d_γ *is a bi-invariant pseudo-metric on* $\widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ *whose degeneracy locus is the (possibly empty) set of non-contractible loops* $\varphi = \{\varphi_t\}_{t\in S^1}$ *in* $\mathrm{Cont}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ *with* $c(\varphi) = c(\varphi^{-1}) = 0$.

This is by now straightforward. The triangle inequality for d_γ and $\mathrm{d}_{\hat{\gamma}}$ follows directly from the triangle inequality for $\overline{c}$ - given $\varphi,\psi,\vartheta \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma,\xi)$ we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}_\gamma(\varphi,\psi) &= \gamma(\varphi\psi^{-1}) \\
&= \left|\overline{c}(\varphi\psi^{-1})\right| + \left|\overline{c}(\psi\varphi^{-1})\right| \\
&= \left|\overline{c}(\varphi\vartheta^{-1}\vartheta\psi^{-1})\right| + \left|\overline{c}(\psi\vartheta^{-1}\vartheta\varphi^{-1})\right| \\
&\leq \left|\overline{c}(\varphi\vartheta^{-1})\right| + \left|\overline{c}(\vartheta\psi^{-1})\right| + \left|\overline{c}(\psi\vartheta^{-1})\right| + \left|\overline{c}(\vartheta\varphi^{-1})\right| \\
&= \gamma(\varphi\vartheta^{-1}) + \gamma(\vartheta\psi^{-1}) \\
&= \mathrm{d}_\gamma(\varphi,\vartheta) + \mathrm{d}_\gamma(\vartheta,\psi^{-1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Next, bi-invariance follows as $\overline{c}$ is conjugation invariant. That $\mathrm{d}_{\hat{\gamma}}$ is non-degenerate is precisely Corollary 4.12, and the statement about when d_γ is non-degenerate follows from Proposition 4.10.

5. Prequantization spaces

5.1. **Hamiltonian Floer homology.** Fix a Liouville domain $(M_0, d\gamma_0)$. Let $S := \partial M_0$ and $\kappa := \gamma_0|_S$, so that (S,κ) is a contact manifold. Let $(M, d\gamma)$ denote the completion of M_0, so that $M = M_0 \cup_S (S \times [1,\infty))$. It is convenient in this section to introduce the notation

$$M(\sigma) := \begin{cases} M_0\backslash(S \times [\sigma,1), & 0 < \sigma < 1, \\ M_0 \cup_S (S \times [1,\sigma), & \sigma \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Thus $M_0 = \overline{M(1)}$. Note here we are using σ to denote the $\mathbb{R}$-coordinate on the end of M - this is so as to avoid confusion in Section 5.3, when a second Liouville domain will come into play.

Denote by $\mathrm{Ham}_c(M, d\gamma)$ the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms f on M with compact support. As before, a *path* $f = \{f_t\}_{0\leq t\leq 1}$ of compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms is assumed to be smoothly parametrized and begin at the identity: $f_0 = \mathrm{id}_M$. Given such a path $f = \{f_t\}_{0\leq t\leq 1}$, let X_f denote the time-dependent vector field on M defined by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_t = X_{f_t} \circ f_t. \tag{5.2}$$

The equation

$$f_t^*\gamma - \gamma = da_t, \quad a_0 \equiv 0 \tag{5.3}$$

determines a smooth compactly supported function $a_t : M \to \mathbb{R}$. If we define

$$F_t = i_{X_{f_t}}\gamma - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}a_t\right) \circ f_t^{-1}, \tag{5.4}$$

then F_t generates f_t: $f_t = f_F^t$. We can recover a_t from F_t via

$$a_t = \int_0^t \left(i_{X_{f_t}}\gamma - F_s\right) \circ f_s ds \tag{5.5}$$

(see for instance [MS98, p294]).

We briefly explain the construction of the Hamiltonian Floer homology of f in this section. The setting we consider here is a special case of the one considered by Frauenfelder and Schlenk in [FS07], to which we refer to for more details. However it will be convenient for us to use Frauenfelder's Morse-Bott framework [Fra04], in order to make the link with the Rabinowitz Floer homology of $\Sigma := M \times S^1$ clearer in the next section.

Given $F \in C_c^\infty(S^1 \times M, \mathbb{R})$, the flow f_F^t has many 1-periodic orbits, since f_F^t is compactly supported. Denote by

$$\mathfrak{r}_F := \inf\left\{\sigma > 0 \mid \mathfrak{S}(f_F) \subseteq M(\sigma)\right\}. \tag{5.6}$$

Given a path $f = \{f_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ in $\mathrm{Ham}_c(M, d\gamma)$, we set $\mathfrak{r}_f := \mathfrak{r}_F$, where F is given by (5.4). Next, we set

$$\mathcal{P}_F := \left\{y \in M(\mathfrak{r}_F) \mid f_F^1(y) = y\right\}. \tag{5.7}$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}_F$ is *not* necessarily the same as $\{y \in \mathfrak{S}(f_F) \mid f_F^1(y) = y\}$ - see (5.17) for an example of a function G for an example where $\mathcal{P}_G \neq \{y \in \mathfrak{S}(f_G) \mid f_G^1(y) = y\}$.

Definition 5.1. Define a subset $\mathcal{H}_c^{\mathrm{mb}} \subseteq C_c^\infty(S^1 \times M, \mathbb{R})$ (here the 'mb' stands for Morse-Bott) to consist of those functions F with the property that $\mathcal{P}_F$ is either a closed submanifold of M or an open domain whose closure is a compact manifold, and for which

$$T_y\mathcal{P}_F = \ker(Df_F^1(y) - \mathbb{1}) \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathcal{P}_F. \tag{5.8}$$

It is well known that the subset $\mathcal{H}_c^{\mathrm{mb}}$ is generic in $C_c^\infty(S^1 \times M, \mathbb{R})$. We say that a path $f = \{f_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ is *non-degenerate* if the function F defined in (5.4) belongs to $\mathcal{H}_c^{\mathrm{mb}}$.

We denote by R_S the Reeb vector field of κ. Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ the set of time-dependent smooth functions $\widehat{F}$ on M with the property that there exists $C > 0$ such that $\widehat{F}_t|_{S \times [C,\infty)}$ is of the form $\widehat{F}_t(y, \sigma) = e(\sigma)$ for some smooth function $e : [C, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$0 \le e'(\sigma) < \wp(S, \kappa). \tag{5.9}$$

Here

$$\wp(S, \kappa) := \inf\left\{T > 0 \mid \exists \text{ a closed Reeb orbit of } R_S \text{ of period } T > 0\right\}. \tag{5.10}$$

This ensures that if $\varphi_{\widehat{F}}^1$ denotes the flow of $\widehat{F}$ then $\varphi_{\widehat{F}}^1$ has no non-constant 1-periodic orbits on $S \times (C, \infty)$. Note that if $F \in C_c^\infty(S^1 \times M, \mathbb{R})$ then one can find $\widehat{F} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ such that $\widehat{F}|_{S^1 \times M(\mathfrak{r}_F)} = F$.

Definition 5.2. Fix a path $f = \{f_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$, and let F denote the function defined in (5.4), and fix an extension $\widehat{F} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ such that $\widehat{F}|_{S^1 \times M^{\rm int}_{\mathfrak{r}_F}} = F$. Recall that $\Lambda(M) := C^\infty_{\rm contr}(S^1, M)$. Define the *Hamiltonian action functional* $\mathcal{A}_f : \Lambda(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{A}_f(v) := \int_0^1 v^*\gamma - \widehat{F}_t(v)dt. \tag{5.11}$$

Denote by $\mathrm{Crit}^\circ(\mathcal{A}_f)$ the set of critical points v of $\mathcal{A}_f$ with $v(S^1) \subseteq M(\mathfrak{r}_F)$. Then $\mathrm{Crit}^\circ(\mathcal{A}_f)$ doesn't depend on the extension $\widehat{F}$ - in fact

$$\mathrm{Crit}^\circ(\mathcal{A}_f) = \mathcal{P}_F, \tag{5.12}$$

and hence the assumption (5.8) implies that each component of $\mathrm{Crit}^\circ(\mathcal{A}_f)$ is a Morse-Bott component for $\mathcal{A}_f$.

Fix $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\rm conv}(M)$ (cf. (2.36)). We define an L^2-inner product $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_J$ on $\Lambda(M)$ as before (cf. (2.37), only this time there is no bb' term). We denote by $\nabla_J \mathcal{A}_F$ the gradient of $\mathcal{A}_F$ with respect to $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_J$. Pick a Morse function $g : \mathrm{Crit}^\circ(\mathcal{A}_f) \to \mathbb{R}$ and a Riemannian metric ϱ on $\mathrm{Crit}^\circ(\mathcal{A}_f)$ such that (g, ϱ) is a Morse-Smale pair. In the case where $\mathcal{P}_F$ is an open domain in M whose boundary is a compact manifold, g must be chosen so that $\langle dg, \mathbf{n} \rangle < 0$ on the boundary, where $\mathbf{n}$ is an outward pointing normal. As before we define moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{v^-, v^+}(\mathcal{A}_f, g, J, \varrho)$ of gradient flow lines with cascades for critical points $v^\pm \in \mathrm{Crit}(g)$. This time we grade $v \in \mathrm{Crit}(g)$ simply by $\mu(W) := \mu_{\rm CZ}(W) + \mathrm{ind}_g(W)$, where $\mu_{\rm CZ}(W)$ is the Conley-Zehnder index. A standard convexity argument gives the necessary compactness needed to define Floer homology - see Frauenfelder-Schlenk [FS07].

Given $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ denote by $\mathrm{CF}_*^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{A}_f, g) := \mathrm{Crit}_*^{(a,b)}(g) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, where $\mathrm{Crit}_*^{(a,b)}(g)$ denotes the set of critical points v of g with $a < \mathcal{A}_f(v) < b$. As before one defines a boundary operator ∂ on $\mathrm{CF}_*^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{A}_f, g)$ by counting the elements of the zero-dimensional parts of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{v^-, v^+}(\mathcal{A}_f, g, J, \varrho)$ for $v^- \neq v^+$. We denote by $\mathrm{HF}_*^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{A}_f)$ the associated homology, which as the notation suggests, is independent of the auxiliary data (g, J, ϱ). In fact, one can also show it is also independent of the choice of path f. We abbreviate $\mathrm{HF}_*^a(\mathcal{A}_f) := \mathrm{HF}_*^{(-\infty,a)}(\mathcal{A}_f)$ and $\mathrm{HF}_*(\mathcal{A}_f) := \mathrm{HF}_*^{(-\infty,\infty)}(\mathcal{A}_f)$. We denote the natural maps $\mathrm{HF}_*^a(\mathcal{A}_f) \to \mathrm{HF}_*(\mathcal{A}_f)$ by j_f^a in the same way as before. Under our grading convention explained in Remark 2.22, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{HF}_*(\mathcal{A}_f) \cong \mathrm{H}_{n+*}(M_0, \partial M_0) \cong \mathrm{H}^{n-*}(M_0). \tag{5.13}$$

See the proof of Lemma 5.3 below for one way to see this.

Next, the Floer homology $\mathrm{HF}_*(\mathcal{A}_f)$ carries the structure of a unital ring with unit $\mathbf{1}_f \in \mathrm{HF}_n(\mathcal{A}_f)$, and as a result, as since $\mathrm{HF}_*(\mathcal{A}_f)$ is necessarily non-zero, as before we can define the *spectral number*

$$c_M(f) := \inf\left\{a \in \mathbb{R} \mid \mathbf{1}_f \in j_f^a(\mathrm{HF}_*^a(\mathcal{A}_f))\right\}. \tag{5.14}$$

As before, c_M is a well defined function

$$c_M : \widetilde{\mathrm{Ham}}_c(M, d\gamma) \to \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.15}$$

We can use c_M to define a capacity on open subsets $\mathcal{O} \subset M$,

$$c_M(\mathcal{O}) := \sup\left\{c_M(f) \mid \mathfrak{S}(f) \subset \mathcal{O}\right\}, \tag{5.16}$$

in the same way as before. We use the subscript c_M to differentiate it from the function c associated to $\Sigma := M \times S^1$ that we will define shortly.

We now introduce a special function G on M such that $G = 0$ on $M(1) = \mathrm{int}(M_0)$ and $G = 0$ on $S \times [1+\varepsilon, \infty)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and such that

$$G(y, r) = e(\sigma) \tag{5.17}$$

on $S \times [1, 1+\varepsilon]$ with $e(1) = e(1+\varepsilon) = 0$ and $e(\sigma) > 0$ on $(1, 1+\varepsilon)$, with $|e'(\sigma)| < \wp(S, \kappa)$. Note that

$$\mathcal{P}_G = M(1), \tag{5.18}$$

where points in M_0 are thought of as constant loops. In particular, $f_G^t|_{M(1)} = \mathrm{id}_{M(1)}$. By a slight abuse of notation we thus think of G as an extension to $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ of the 'Hamiltonian diffeomorphism' $\mathrm{id}_{M(1)}$.

Lemma 5.3. *In the case* $\mathrm{id}_{M(1)}$ *one has* $c_M(\mathrm{id}_{M(1)}) = 0$. *Moreover in this case the unit* $\mathbf{1}$ *is simply given by the fundamental class* $[M_0]$.

Proof. We define $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{id}_{M(1)}}$ using the function G defined in (5.17). Thus $\mathrm{Crit}^\circ(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{id}_{M(1)}}) = M(1)$, and every element of $\mathrm{Crit}^\circ(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{id}_{M(1)}})$ has action value zero. Thus there are no gradient flow lines of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{id}_{M(1)}}$, and hence the Floer complex $\mathrm{CF}_*(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{id}_{M(1)}}, g)$ reduces to the Morse complex of a Morse function g on $M(1)$. Such a Morse function g can be chosen so that $g > 1$ on $M(1)$ and such that g is the restriction of a Morse function $\widehat{g} : M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\widehat{g}(y, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma}$ on $S \times [1, \infty)$. This shows that

$$\mathrm{HF}_*(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{id}_{M(1)}}) \cong \mathrm{HM}_{n+*}(g) \cong \mathrm{H}_{n+*}(M_0, \partial M_0), \tag{5.19}$$

which proves (5.13). Moreover if the Morse function g has a unique maximum $y_{\max}$ then $[y_{\max}]$ is a cycle in $\mathrm{HF}_n(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{id}_{M(1)}})$, and in fact $\mathrm{HF}_n(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{id}_{M(1)}}) = \mathbb{Z}_2[y_{\max}]$. Note that under the isomorphism of the Morse homology of g with the relative homology of $(M_0, \partial M_0)$, $[y_{\max}]$ represents the fundamental class $[M_0]$. This shows that $\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{id}_{M_0}} = [M_0]$, and $c_M(\mathrm{id}_{M(1)}) = 0$ as claimed. □

5.2. **The prequantization space $\Sigma = M \times S^1$.** The *prequantization space* of M is the contact manifold $\Sigma := M \times S^1$, equipped with the contact structure $\xi := \ker \alpha$, where

$$\alpha := \gamma + d\tau, \tag{5.20}$$

and τ is the coordinate on $S^1 \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. The third class of contact manifolds we study in this paper are these prequantization spaces, which for convenience we refer to as Assumption **(C)**:

(C): $(\Sigma, \xi = \ker \zeta)$ is a prequantization space $\Sigma = M \times S^1$, where $(M, d\gamma)$ is a Liouville manifold, and $\alpha = \gamma + d\tau$.

In this case Σ is obviously periodic, but it is *not* Liouville fillable in the previous sense. Aside from anything else, Σ is necessarily *non-compact.* However Σ does still retain enough of the properties needed above in order to define a Rabinowitz Floer homology, as will explain in the next section.

Let us denote by $\mathrm{Cont}_{0,c}(\Sigma, \xi)$ those contactomorphisms φ with compact support. There is a natural way to obtain a path $\varphi = \{\varphi_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ of compactly supported contactomorphisms on Σ from a path $f = \{f_t\}_{0 \le t \le 1}$ of compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms on M. Indeed, given such a path f, define $\varphi_t : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ by

$$\varphi_t(y, \tau) := \big(f_t(y), \underbrace{\tau - a_t(y)}_{\text{mod } 1}\big), \tag{5.21}$$

where a_t was defined in (5.3). One easily checks that φ_t is an *exact* contactomorphism. We say that the contact isotopy φ is the *lift* of the Hamiltonian isotopy f. In this case the contact Hamiltonian h_t associated to φ_t is simply F_t:

$$h_t \circ \varphi_t = \alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi_t\right) = F_t \circ \varphi_t, \tag{5.22}$$

where F_t was defined in (5.4). Fix a function $\widehat{F} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ such that $\widehat{F} = F$ on $S^1 \times M(\mathfrak{r}_F)$, and define $\widehat{H}_t : S\Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\widehat{H}_t := r\widehat{F}_t$.

Consider again the Rabinowitz action functional $\mathcal{A}_\varphi : \Lambda(S\Sigma) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as in (2.13), using $\widehat{H}_t$. Suppose $(u, \eta) \in \operatorname{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi)$. Write $u(t) = (v(t), \tau(t), r(t)) \in M \times S^1 \times (0, \infty)$. Then from (2.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big(f_1\left(v\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right), \underbrace{\tau\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right) - a_1\left(v\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right)}_{\text{mod } 1}\Big) &= \varphi_1\left(u\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right), \\
&= \theta^{-\eta}\left(v\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right), \tau\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right) \\
&= \Big(v\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right), \underbrace{\tau\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right) - \eta}_{\text{mod } 1}\Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

and hence if $y := v(\frac{1}{2})$ then $f_1(y) = y$ and $a_1(y) = \eta$ mod 1. Moreover since φ_t is exact one has $r(t) \equiv 1$ for all t (cf. the last statement of Lemma 2.9). Since we only consider *contractible* critical points of $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$, we deduce:

Lemma 5.4. *There exists a bijective map*

$$\pi : \operatorname{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi) \to \operatorname{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_f) \tag{5.24}$$

given by

$$\pi(u = (v, \tau, r), \eta) := \left(t \mapsto f_t\left(v\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right)\right). \tag{5.25}$$

Moreover

$$\mathcal{A}_\varphi(u, \eta) = \mathcal{A}_f(\pi(u, \eta)). \tag{5.26}$$

In particular, every critical point (u, η) *of* $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ *has*

$$u(S^1) \subseteq M(\mathfrak{r}_f) \times S^1 \times \{1\}. \tag{5.27}$$

Given a contactomorphism $\varphi \in \operatorname{Cont}_{0,c}(\Sigma, \xi)$, we denote by

$$\mathfrak{r}_\varphi = \inf\left\{\sigma > 0 \mid \mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subseteq M(\sigma) \times S^1\right\}. \tag{5.28}$$

Thus if φ is the lift of f then

$$\mathfrak{r}_\varphi = \mathfrak{r}_f. \tag{5.29}$$

5.3. **Rabinowitz Floer homology on Σ.** Let P_0 denote a torus with a disc removed, so that $\partial P_0 = S^1$. Equip P_0 with an exact symplectic form $d\beta_0$ such that $\beta_0|_{\partial P_0} = d\tau$. Denote by $(P, d\beta)$ the completion of P, so that

$$\beta = r d\tau \quad \text{on} \quad \partial P_0 \times [1, \infty). \tag{5.30}$$

Consider

$$W := M \times P, \tag{5.31}$$

equipped with the symplectic form $d\lambda$ where $\lambda := \gamma + \beta$. Note that W is *not* a Liouville filling of Σ. In particular, when equipped with the symplectic form $d\lambda$, there is no embedding $(S\Sigma, d(r\alpha)) \hookrightarrow (W, d\lambda)$ that we can use in order to extend the Rabinowitz action functional

$\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ to a functional defined on all on $\Lambda(W)\times\mathbb{R}$. Ideally we would like to work with a symplectic form ω' such that $\omega'|_{M\times\partial P_0\times(0,\infty)} = d(r\alpha)$. Sadly no such symplectic form exists, and even if one did it would not have the 'right' properties at infinity. To circumvent this problem we will work with a family $\{\lambda^s\}_{s\geq 1}$ of 1-forms as specified by the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *There exists a family $\{\lambda^s\}_{s\geq 1}$ such that*

1. *For all $s\geq 1$, $d\lambda^s$ is a symplectic form on W.*
2. *For all $s\geq 1$, $d\lambda^s$ is split-convex at infinity - that is, on $(M\backslash M(2s-1)\times P)\cup(M\times P\backslash P(2s-1))$, the 1-form λ^s is just the split form $(2s-1)\gamma+\beta$. Thus $(W,d\lambda^s)$ is geometrically bounded for each $s\geq 1$.*
3. *For all $s\geq 1$, on $M(s)\times P(\frac{1}{2s-1})$, λ^s is the split form $\frac{1}{2s-1}\gamma+\beta$.*
4. *For $s=1$, $\lambda^1=\lambda$ on all of W.*
5. *For $s>1$, define $\Omega_s\subset S\Sigma$ to be the subset*
$$\Omega_s := M(s)\times S^1\times(1/s,s). \tag{5.32}$$
Then for each $s>1$, the 'inclusion'
$$\iota_s:\Omega_s\hookrightarrow W \tag{5.33}$$
satisfies $\iota^*\lambda^s = r\alpha$.

Proof. Define a smooth function
$$k:[1,\infty)\times[0,\infty)\times[0,\infty)\to(0,\infty) \tag{5.34}$$
such that
$$k(1,\sigma,r)=1 \quad \text{for all } \sigma,r\in[0,\infty) \tag{5.35}$$
and if $s>1$ then:
$$k(s,\sigma,r)=r \quad \text{if } \sigma\in[0,s) \text{ and } r\in(1/s,s), \tag{5.36}$$
$$k(s,\sigma,r)=2s-1 \quad \text{if either } \sigma>2s-1 \text{ or } r>2s-1, \tag{5.37}$$
$$k(s,\sigma,r)=\frac{1}{2s-1} \quad \text{if } \sigma\in[0,s) \text{ and } r\in\left(0,\frac{1}{2s-1}\right), \tag{5.38}$$
and finally such that
$$\frac{\partial k}{\partial\sigma}(s,\sigma,r)\geq 0 \quad \text{for all } (s,\sigma,r)\in[1,\infty)\times[0,\infty)\times[0,\infty). \tag{5.39}$$
Now define a function $\tilde{k}:[1,\infty)\times V\to\mathbb{R}$ by setting
$$\tilde{k}(s,(y,\sigma),(r,\tau)) := k(s,\sigma,r) \quad \text{for } (y,\sigma)\in\partial M_0\times\mathbb{R}^+,\ (r,\tau)\in\partial P_0\times\mathbb{R}^+, \tag{5.40}$$
and such that for $z\in M(0)$ and $(r,\tau)\in\partial P_0\times(0,\infty)$ one has
$$\tilde{k}(s,z,(r,\tau)) = k(s,0,r), \tag{5.41}$$
and if $(y,\sigma)\in\partial M_0\times(0,\infty)$ and $w\in P(0)$ then
$$\tilde{k}(s,(y,\sigma),w) = k(s,\sigma,0). \tag{5.42}$$
and finally such that for $z\in M(0)$ and $w\in P(0)$ one has
$$\tilde{k}(s,z,w) := k(s,0,0) \tag{5.43}$$
Then finally we define
$$\lambda^s := \tilde{k}(s,\cdot,\cdot)\gamma+\beta. \tag{5.44}$$
It is clear that properties (1)-(5) are satisfied for λ^s defined in this way. $\square$

We now prove the following result.

Theorem 5.6. *For any non-degenerate path φ there exists a constant $s_0(\varphi) \geq 1$ such that if $s \geq s_0(\varphi)$ then it is possible to define the Rabinowitz Floer homology $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W, d\lambda^s)$ (here the notation indicates that we are working with the symplectic structure $d\lambda^s$ on W). Moreover the Rabinowitz Floer homology is independent of the choice of $s \geq s_0(\varphi)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{J}_s(W)$ denote the set of time-dependent almost complex structures $J = \{J_t\}_{t \in S^1}$ on W that are $d\lambda^s$ compatible, and satisfy:

1. If ι_s is the embedding (5.33) then $\iota_s^* J \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{conv}}(\Omega_s \subset S\Sigma)$.
2. The restriction of J to $(M \backslash M(2s-1) \times P) \cup (M \times P \backslash P(2s-1))$ is *split* - that is, there exist almost complex structures $J' \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{conv}}(M)$ and $J'' \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{conv}}(P)$ such that $J = (J', J'')$ on this set.

Extend $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ to a functional $\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa$ defined on all of $\Lambda(W) \times \mathbb{R}$ in the same way as before, by replacing $\widehat{H}_t$ with a truncated function $\widehat{H}_t^\kappa$ as in (2.27). As with the Hamiltonian Floer homology, we are now only interested in the set $\mathrm{Crit}^\circ(\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa)$ of critical points $(u = (v, \tau, r), \eta)$ of critical points of $\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa$ with $v(S^1) \subset M(\mathfrak{r}_\varphi)$. For s large enough, all elements of $\mathrm{Crit}^\circ(\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa)$ are contained in Ω_s and $\widehat{H}_t^\kappa$ is constant outside of $\Omega_{2s-1+\varepsilon}$ for some small $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus if we work with an almost complex structure $J \in \mathcal{J}_s(W)$, no flow lines of $-\nabla_J \mathcal{A}_\varphi^\kappa$ can ever escape $\Omega_{2s-1+\varepsilon}$. Thus the Rabinowitz Floer homology is well defined for this s.

In order to prove independence of s, first note that for $s \geq \max\{s_0(\varphi), s_0(\psi)\}$ the continuation maps from points (1)-(3) on the properties of Rabinowitz Floer homology on page 17 go through to show that

$$\mathrm{RFH}_*(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W, d\lambda^s) \cong \mathrm{RFH}_*(\mathcal{A}_\psi, W, d\lambda^s). \tag{5.45}$$

Next we note that if $\mathrm{id}_{M(1) \times S^1}$ is the contactomorphism with contact Hamiltonian G as defined in (5.17) then $s_0(\mathrm{id}_{M(1) \times S^1}) = 1$. More generally, this is true for any *exact* path φ of contactomorphisms, since in this case for any $\varepsilon > 0$, every critical point of $\mathcal{A}_\varphi^\varepsilon$ is contained in $\Sigma \times \{0\}$ - see Lemma 2.18. By (5.45) it suffices to show that $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{id}_{M(1) \times S^1}}, W, d\lambda^s)$ is independent of s. But this is clear, since every critical point of the Rabinowitz action functional $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{id}_{M(1) \times S^1}}$ has action value zero, as we are only looking at contractible critical points and we have filled S^1 with a punctured torus P_0 rather than a disc D^2. Thus $\mathrm{Crit}^\circ(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{id}_{M(1) \times S^1}}) = M(1) \times S^1 \times \{0\}$, and hence regardless of which symplectic structure we use, as in Lemma 5.3, the Rabinowitz complex reduces to the Morse complex of a Morse function $\tilde{g} : M(1) \times S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$. In particular, it does not depend on s. ☐

We denote by $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W)$ the groups $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W, d\lambda^s)$ for any $s \geq s_0(\varphi)$.

Theorem 5.7. *If $\varphi = \{\varphi_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ is the lift of $f = \{f_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ then there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{RFH}_*(\mathcal{A}_\varphi, W) \cong \mathrm{HF}_*(\mathcal{A}_f) \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(S^1), \tag{5.46}$$

under which the unit $\mathbf{1}_\varphi$ maps to $\mathbf{1}_f \otimes [S^1]$.

Proof. By naturality it suffices to prove the theorem in the case $f = \mathrm{id}_{M(1)}$, $\varphi = \mathrm{id}_{M(1) \times S^1}$. In this case as in the proof of the last part of Theorem 5.6, one has

$$\mathrm{RFH}_*(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{id}_{M(1) \times S^1}}, W) \cong \mathrm{HM}_{*+n}(\tilde{g}), \tag{5.47}$$

where $\tilde{g}$ is a Morse function on $M(1) \times S^1$. We choose $\widetilde{g} = (g, g')$, where g is the Morse function considered in the proof of Lemma 5.3, and $g' : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Morse function with two critical points $\tau_{\min}$ and $\tau_{\max}$. This gives

$$\mathrm{RFH}_*(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{id}_{M(1)\times S^1}}, W) \cong \mathrm{HM}_{n+*}(\tilde{g}) \cong \mathrm{HM}_*(g) \otimes \mathrm{HM}_*(g') \cong \mathrm{H}_{n+*}(M_0, \partial M_0) \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(S^1). \quad (5.48)$$

To complete the proof we check that the unit in $\mathrm{RFH}_*(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{id}_{M(1)\times S^1}}, V)$ is given by $[((y_{\max}, \tau_{\max}), 0]$. This is clear since $((y_{\max}, \tau_{\max}), 0)$ is the only critical point of index n (cf. point (5) from the properties of Rabinowitz Floer homology on page 18). ☐

5.4. **Relating the capacities.** We define $c(\varphi)$ in the same way as before for $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_{0,c}(\Sigma, \xi)$. It is by now clear that almost all the results from Sections 3-4 go through in this setting, provided we only work with elements of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_{0,c}(\Sigma, \xi)$. There are two points where things are not quite the same. Firstly, there is no analogue of Lemma 3.6. This is because the Reeb flow is not compactly supported. In fact, in the case where $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ one has $c(\varphi) \geq 0$ for all $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_{0,c}(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1, \xi)$. This follows from Lemma 3.15. As a consequence it is no longer possible to prove the strict inequality in Proposition 3.9, and in fact this is simply not true in this setting (cf. Remark 3.20 and Appendix A). Thus in this setting Proposition 3.9 is reduced to the following weaker statement:

Proposition 5.8. *Suppose $\varphi, \psi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_{0,c}(\Sigma, \xi)$. Suppose the contact Hamiltonian of φ is h_t, and the contact Hamiltonian of ψ is k_t. Write $\varphi_t^*\alpha = \rho_t\alpha$ and $\psi_t^*\alpha = \sigma_t\alpha$. Then if for all $t \in [0,1]$ one has*

$$\frac{h_t \circ \varphi_t}{\rho_t} \geq \frac{k_t \circ \psi_t}{\sigma_t}, \quad (5.49)$$

then

$$c(\varphi) \leq c(\psi). \quad (5.50)$$

Nevertheless we never actually made use of the strict inequality anywhere in the paper except in the proof of Corollary 3.10. Corollary 3.16 shows that one direction continues to hold, namely that if $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_{0,c}(\Sigma, \xi)$ has contact Hamiltonian h_t with $h_t(\varphi_t(x)) < 0$ whenever $\varphi_t(x) \neq x$ then $c(\varphi) > 0$. However the converse is false (see Appendix A). Note that the proof of Proposition 3.19 still goes through in this setting (provided the set U has compact closure), and hence so does the proof of Corollary 3.21 (again, assuming U has compact closure). Of course, in this setting there are no contractible closed Reeb orbits. The key extra piece of information we have here is the following statement, which was stated as Theorem 1.29 in the Introduction.

Theorem 5.9. *Suppose $f \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Ham}}_c(M, d\gamma)$, and let $\varphi \in \widetilde{Cont}_{0,c}(\Sigma, \xi)$ denote the lift of f. Then*

$$c_M(f) = c(\varphi). \quad (5.51)$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{O} \subset M$ is open then

$$c_M(\mathcal{O}) = c(\mathcal{O} \times S^1). \quad (5.52)$$

Proof. The first statement follows from Theorem 5.7 and Lemma 5.4. Thus clearly $c_M(\mathcal{O}) \leq c(\mathcal{O} \times S^1)$. In order to complete the proof, we must show that given any $\psi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_{0,c}(\Sigma, \xi)$ with $\mathfrak{S}(\psi) \subset \mathcal{O} \times S^1$ there exists $f \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Ham}}_c(M, d\gamma)$ with $\mathfrak{S}(f) \subset \mathcal{O}$ and such that lifted contactomorphism φ satisfies

$$c(\psi) \leq c(\varphi). \quad (5.53)$$

This follows from Proposition 3.9. Writing $\psi_t^*\alpha = \rho_t\alpha$, and letting h_t denote the contact Hamiltonian of ψ, let us choose f with $\mathfrak{S}(f) \subset \mathcal{O}$ and such that the corresponding function F from (5.4) satisfies

$$F_t \circ \varphi_t \leq \frac{h_t \circ \psi_t}{\rho_t}, \tag{5.54}$$

where φ denotes the lift of f. Since φ is exact and has contact Hamiltonian F_t, (5.53) follows from (5.54) and Proposition 5.8. $\square$

In order to present an application of Theorem 5.9, let us quickly recall the definition of the *Hofer-Zehnder capacity*. See for instance [HZ94] for an in depth treatment.

Definition 5.10. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an open subset of M. We define the *Hofer-Zehnder capacity* $c_{\mathrm{HZ}}(\mathcal{O}, M)$ of $\mathcal{O}$ to

$$c_{\mathrm{HZ}}(\mathcal{O}, M) := \sup\left\{\|H\| \mid H \text{ is admissible}\right\}, \tag{5.55}$$

where $H \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ is *admissible* if there exists an open set $O \subset \mathcal{O}$ such that $H|_O = \max H$, and if the flow φ_H^t has no non-constant periodic orbits of period ≤ 1.

We also define the *displacement energy* by

$$e(\mathcal{O}, M) := \inf\left\{\|H\| \mid \varphi_H^1(\mathcal{O}) \cap \mathcal{O} = \emptyset\right\}. \tag{5.56}$$

The following result is due to Frauenfelder and Schlenk [FS07, Corollary 8.3], see also [FGS05, Sch00].

Theorem 5.11. *If (M_0, γ_0) is a Liouville domain then*

$$c_{\mathrm{HZ}}(\mathcal{O}, M) \leq c_M(\mathcal{O}) \leq e(\mathcal{O}, M). \tag{5.57}$$

Denote by $B(r)$ the open ball of radius r in $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$. Then $c_{\mathrm{HZ}}(B(r), \mathbb{R}^{2m}) = \pi r^2$. We can now prove:

Proposition 5.12. *Let $(M, d\gamma)$ denote a Liouville manifold. Equip $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ with the standard symplectic form $d\lambda_0$, and consider the contact manifold $(\widetilde{\Sigma}, \alpha + \lambda_0)$, where $\widetilde{\Sigma} := M \times \mathbb{R}^{2m} \times S^1$. Suppose $\mathcal{O} \subseteq M$ is open and $c_{\mathrm{HZ}}(\mathcal{O}, M) < \infty$. Choose $r_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\lceil \pi r_0^2 \rceil < \lceil c_{\mathrm{HZ}}(\mathcal{O}, M) \rceil \tag{5.58}$$

and set

$$r_1 := \sqrt{\tfrac{1}{\pi} c_{\mathrm{HZ}}(\mathcal{O}, M)} + 1 \tag{5.59}$$

Then there does not exist $\varphi \in \mathrm{Cont}_{0,c}(\widetilde{\Sigma}, \alpha + \lambda_0)$ such that

$$\varphi(\mathcal{O} \times B(r_1) \times S^1) \subset \mathcal{O} \times B(r_0) \times S^1. \tag{5.60}$$

Proof. We first prove that for $r > r_1$,

$$c_{\mathrm{HZ}}(\mathcal{O} \times B(r), M \times \mathbb{R}^{2m}) \geq c_{\mathrm{HZ}}(\mathcal{O}, M). \tag{5.61}$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. We consider a cutoff function $\beta : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ such that $\beta(s) = 1$ for $s \in [0, r - 1 - \varepsilon]$ and $\beta(s) = 0$ for $s > r$, and such that $-1 \leq \beta'(s) \leq 0$ for all $s \in [0, \infty)$. Now suppose H is any admissible function on $\mathcal{O}$. Define $H_\beta : M \times \mathbb{R}^{2m} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$H_\beta(x, y) := \beta(|y|)H(x). \tag{5.62}$$

The symplectic gradient of H_β with respect to $d\gamma \oplus d\lambda_0$ is

$$X_{H_\beta}(x, y) = \left(\beta(|y|)X_H(x), H(x)X_\beta(y)\right). \tag{5.63}$$

Suppose $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to M \times \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ is a non-constant periodic orbit of X_{H_β}, with $\gamma(t+T) = \gamma(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We shall show that $T > 1$, so that H_β is admissible. Write $\gamma(t) = (\gamma_x(t), \gamma_y(t))$. Then

$$\dot{\gamma}_x = \beta(|\gamma_y|) X_H(\gamma_x), \quad \dot{\gamma}_y = H(\gamma_x) X_\beta(\gamma_y). \tag{5.64}$$

Since $|\beta'| \leq 1$ we see that if γ_x is non-constant then $T > 1$. But if γ_x is constant, say $\gamma_x(t) = x_0$, then we must have $H(x_0) \neq 0$. Since β' is non-zero only for $|\gamma_y| \in (r-1-\varepsilon, r)$ we necessarily have

$$T \geq \frac{1}{H(x_0)} \pi (r-1-\varepsilon)^2 \geq \frac{1}{c_{\mathrm{HZ}}(\mathcal{O}, M)} \pi (r-1-\varepsilon)^2. \tag{5.65}$$

Thus as long as

$$\pi(r-1-\varepsilon)^2 > c_{\mathrm{HZ}}(\mathcal{O}, M), \tag{5.66}$$

H_β is indeed admissible. Since clearly max $H_\beta =$ max H, we see that

$$c_{\mathrm{HZ}}(\mathcal{O} \times B(r), M \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \geq c_{\mathrm{HZ}}(U, M) \tag{5.67}$$

provided that (5.66) holds. Since ε was arbitrary we obtain (5.61). Moreover for any $r > 0$ one always has

$$e(\mathcal{O} \times B(r), M \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \leq \pi r^2, \tag{5.68}$$

as can be checked directly. The remainder of the proof is an easy application of Theorem 5.9, Theorem 5.11 and Corollary 1.25. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{c}(\mathcal{O} \times B(r_0) \times S^1) &= \left\lceil e(\mathcal{O} \times B(r_0), M \times \mathbb{R}^{2m}) \right\rceil \\
&\leq \left\lceil \pi r_0^2 \right\rceil \\
&< \left\lceil c_{\mathrm{HZ}}(\mathcal{O}, M) \right\rceil \\
&\leq \left\lceil c_{\mathrm{HZ}}(\mathcal{O} \times B(r_1), M \times \mathbb{R}^{2m}) \right\rceil \\
&\leq \overline{c}_{M \times \mathbb{R}^{2m}}(\mathcal{O} \times B(r_1)) \\
&= \overline{c}(\mathcal{O} \times B(r_1) \times S^1).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.69}$$

□

Here is an application of Proposition 5.12, which can be seen as a more quantitive (albeit weaker, and with more hypotheses) version of the infinitesimal result of [EKP06, Theorem 1.18].

Corollary 5.13. *Suppose X is a closed connected oriented Riemannian manifold which admits a circle action $S^1 \times X \to X$ such that the loop $t \mapsto t \cdot p$ is not contractible for some $p \in X$. Then if $\mathcal{O} \subset T^*X$ is any neighborhood of the zero section then the conclusion of Proposition 5.12 holds.*

Proof. A result of Kei Irie [Iri11] proves that in this setting the Hofer-Zehnder capacity of the unit disc bundle $D^*X \subset T^*X$ is finite. Thus the same is true of any neighborhood $\mathcal{O} \subset T^*X$ of the zero section, and hence the hypotheses of Proposition 5.12 are satisfied. □

Appendix A. The 'compactly supported Reeb flow'

In this Appendix we construct a 'compactly supported Reeb flow' whose support is contained in a tubular neighborhood of a non-contractible orbit, and explicitly compute the spectral value. This result has been alluded to in Remark 3.20 and also in the discussion before and after Proposition 5.8.

Theorem A.1. *Suppose* (Σ, ξ) *satisfies either Assumption* **(B)** *or* **(C)***, and suppose* $\gamma : S^1 \to \Sigma$ *is a closed* non-contractible *Reeb orbit. Then there exists* $\rho_0 > 0$ *(which under Assumption* **(B)** *additionally satisfies* $\rho_0 < 1$*) and a tubular neighborhood* N *of* γ *with the following significance: For all* $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ *with* $|\rho| < \rho_0$*, there exists an exact contactomorphism* $\vartheta^\rho \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_0(\Sigma, \xi)$ *with* $\mathfrak{S}(\vartheta^\rho) \subset N$ *with the property that if* $x \in \mathfrak{S}(\vartheta^\rho)$ *is a translated point with of* ϑ^ρ *then*

$$\vartheta^\rho(x) = \theta^\rho(x). \tag{A.1}$$

In other words, from the point of view of translated points, ϑ^ρ *is 'the Reeb flow supported on* γ*'. Moreover if* $N' \subset N$ *is any tubular neighborhood of* γ *then for* $|\rho|$ *sufficiently small we have* $\mathfrak{S}(\vartheta^\rho) \subset N'$.

The spectral value $c(\vartheta^\rho)$ *is given by*

$$c(\vartheta^\rho) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le \rho < \rho_0, \\ -\rho, & -\rho_0 < \rho \le 0. \end{cases} \tag{A.2}$$

We will prove Theorem A.1 using Proposition A.2 below, which deals with the special case $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1$.

Convention: In this appendix we equip $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \backslash \{0\}$ with polar coordinates (s, ϕ) where $s \in (0, \infty)$ and $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{2n-1})$ with $\phi_j \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. In these coordinates we can have

$$\alpha_0 = \sum_j \tfrac{1}{2} s^2 d\phi_j + d\tau. \tag{A.3}$$

Thus τ is 1-periodic but the ϕ_j are 2π-periodic! This is so that $c_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}}(B(r)) = \pi r^2$ and not $\frac{1}{2} r^2$.

Proposition A.2. *Denote by* $B(r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ *the open ball of radius* r *about the origin. Then for any* $r > 0$ *and any* $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ *with* $|\rho| < \pi r^2$*, there exists an exact contactomorphism* $\vartheta^\rho \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Cont}}_{0,c}(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1, \xi_0)$ *with* $\mathfrak{S}(\vartheta^\rho) \subset B(r) \times S^1$ *with the property that if* $x \in \mathfrak{S}(\vartheta^\rho)$ *is a translated point with of* ϑ^ρ *then*

$$\vartheta^\rho(x) = \theta^\rho(x). \tag{A.4}$$

The spectral value is given by

$$c(\vartheta^\rho) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le \rho < \pi r^2, \\ -\rho, & -\pi r^2 < \rho \le 0. \end{cases} \tag{A.5}$$

Remark A.3. Note that this result gives a direct proof of the fact that $c(B(r) \times S^1) \ge \pi r^2$ (cf. Theorem 5.9).

Before getting started on the proofs we need two preliminary results. The following lemma is proved for instance in [HM12, Lemma 5.2].

Lemma A.4. *Suppose (Σ^{2n+1},α) is any contact manifold and $\gamma : \mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z} \to \Sigma$ is a closed orbit of the Reeb vector field R of α. Then there exists a tubular neighborhood N of $\gamma(\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z})$ in Σ and and $r > 0$ together with a chart*

$$\mathtt{x} : B(r) \times S^1 \to N \tag{A.6}$$

with the following two properties:

1. $\mathtt{x}(\{0\} \times S^1) = \gamma(\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z})$,
2. $\mathtt{x}^*\alpha = \sum_j \frac{1}{2}s^2 d\phi_j + K d\tau$, *where* $K : B(r) \to (0,\infty)$ *is a positive function satisfying* $K_\tau(0) = T$ *and* $dK_\tau(0) = 0$ *(here* $K_\tau := K(\cdot,\tau)$*).*

We will use the following simple corollary of this result.

Corollary A.5. *Suppose (Σ^{2n+1},α) is a periodic contact manifold and $\gamma : S^1 \to \Sigma$ is a closed orbit of the Reeb vector field R of α. Then there exists a tubular neighborhood N of $\gamma(S^1)$ in Σ and $r > 0$, together with a chart*

$$\mathtt{x} : B(r) \times S^1 \to N \tag{A.7}$$

such that $\mathbf{x}(\{0\} \times S^1) = \gamma(S^1)$, and

$$\mathtt{x}^*\alpha = \alpha_0. \tag{A.8}$$

Proof. It suffices to show that the periodic condition forces $K \equiv 1$ in Lemma A.4. Let $\beta := \mathtt{x}^*\alpha$, so that β is a contact form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1$. We wish to show that $\beta = \alpha_0$. The Reeb vector field X of β is given by

$$X = \frac{1}{K_\tau - \lambda(X_{K_\tau})}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - X_{K_\tau}\right), \tag{A.9}$$

as is easily checked, where X_{K_τ} is the symplectic gradient of K_τ with respect to $\sum_j \frac{1}{2\pi} s ds \wedge d\phi_j$. Thus denoting by

$$F_\tau(s,\phi) := \frac{1}{K_\tau(s,\phi) - \lambda_{(s,\phi)}(X_{K_\tau}(s,\phi))}, \tag{A.10}$$

the Reeb flow θ^t_β of β is given by

$$\theta^t_\beta(s,\phi,\tau) = \left(\varphi_K^{\int_0^t F_\sigma(s,\phi)d\sigma}(s,\phi), \tau + \int_0^t F_\sigma(s,\phi)d\sigma\right), \tag{A.11}$$

where φ^t_K is the flow of X_{K_t}. In particular, if $\theta^1_\beta(s,\phi,\tau) = (s,\phi,\tau)$ for every point (s,ϕ,τ) then examining the second coordinate we see that one must have

$$\int_0^1 F_\sigma(s,\phi)d\sigma \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } (s,\phi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}. \tag{A.12}$$

Since the function $(s,\phi) \mapsto \int_0^1 F_\sigma(s,\phi)d\sigma$ is continuous and for $(s,\phi) = (0,0)$ one has $F_\sigma(0) = 1$ for all σ, it follows that we must have $\int_0^1 F_\sigma(s,\phi)d\sigma = 1$ for all (s,ϕ). Now examining the first coordinate we see that $\varphi^1_K(s,\phi) = (s,\phi)$ for all (s,ϕ), and hence $K_\tau(s,\phi) = 1$ for every (s,ϕ,τ). □

Observe that Theorem A.1 is an immediate consequence of Corollary A.5 and Proposition A.2, where under Assumption **(B)** we require $\rho_0 < 1 = \wp_W(\Sigma,\alpha)$ so as to ensure that Lemma 3.18 holds. It thus remains to show Proposition A.2.

Proof of Proposition A.2. The Reeb vector field R of α_0 is just $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$, and the Reeb flow θ^t is given by

$$\theta^t(s,\phi,\tau) = (s,\phi,\underbrace{\tau + t}_{\text{mod } 1}). \tag{A.13}$$

Fix $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 < |\rho| < \pi r^2$. Let $f : [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ denote a smooth function with the following properties:

(1) There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f(s) = \rho$ for $0 \le s \le \varepsilon$ and $f(s) = 0$ for $r - \varepsilon \le s \le r$.
(2) If $\rho < 0$ then $f'(s) \ge 0$ for all s. If $\rho > 0$ then $f'(s) \le 0$ for all s.
(3) If $\rho < 0$ then $2\pi s - f'(s) > 0$ for all $s > 0$. If $\rho < 0$ then $2\pi s + f'(s) < 0$ for all $s > 0$.

Note that such a function only exists because $|\rho| < \pi r^2$. Indeed, if $\rho < 0$ then since $2\pi s - f'(s) > 0$ one has

$$-\rho = \int_0^r f'(s)ds < \int_0^r 2\pi s ds = \pi r^2. \tag{A.14}$$

Conversely it is easy to see that when $|\rho| < \pi r^2$ such functions really do exist. Now consider the contactomorphism ϑ^ρ of $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1$ whose contact Hamiltonian $h_t : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1$ is given by

$$h_t(s,\zeta,\tau) = f(r). \tag{A.15}$$

The contact vector field X_t of h_t is defined by the equations

$$\alpha(X_t) = h_t, \quad i_{X_t} d\alpha = dh_t(R)\alpha - dh_t. \tag{A.16}$$

This gives

$$X_t(s,\phi,\tau) = \sum_j \frac{f'(s)}{s}\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_j} + \left(f(s) - \frac{sf'(s)}{2}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}. \tag{A.17}$$

We can integrate this to obtain

$$\vartheta^\rho_t(s,\phi,\tau) = \left(s, \phi_1 + \frac{f'(s)}{s}t, \dots, \phi_{2n-1} + \frac{f'(s)}{2}t, \tau + \left(f(s) - \frac{sf'(s)}{2}\right)t\right), \tag{A.18}$$

and hence translated points of ϑ^ρ_1 are tuples (s,ϕ,τ) with

$$\frac{f'(s)}{s} \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}, \tag{A.19}$$

and the time-shift is given by

$$\eta = f(s) - \frac{sf'(s)}{2}. \tag{A.20}$$

By assumption one never has $f'(s)/2\pi s \in \mathbb{Z}$ unless $f'(s) = 0$. In other words, translated points only occur when $0 \le s \le \varepsilon$ or when $r - \varepsilon \le s \le \infty$. In particular, the only translated points of ϑ^ρ that lie in the interior of the support of ϑ^ρ are the points in $B(\varepsilon) \times S^1$. Since $\vartheta^\rho = \theta^\rho$ on $B(\varepsilon) \times S^1$, this justifies our claim that 'from the point of view of translated points', ϑ^ρ is the Reeb flow.

To complete the proof let us compute the spectral value of ϑ^ρ. Note that the *contractible* action spectrum of $\mathcal{A}_{\vartheta^\rho}$ is just $\{0, -\rho\}$, and hence we certainly have $c(\vartheta^\rho) \in \{0, -\rho\}$. For $\rho < 0$, one has $h_t < 0$ on the interior of its support and hence by Corollary 3.16 one has $c(\vartheta^\rho) > 0$, which implies $c(\vartheta^\rho) = -\rho$. Next, Lemma 3.15 implies that for any ρ, $c(\vartheta^\rho) \ge 0$ since one can find a contactomorphism $\psi \in \mathrm{Cont}_{0,c}(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1, \alpha_0)$ such that $\psi(B(r) \times S^1) \cap \big(B(r) \times S^1\big) = \emptyset$ (take ψ to be the lift of a translation of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ which is suitably truncated). Thus for $\rho > 0$ we must have $c(\vartheta^\rho) = 0$. This completes the proof. □

Remark A.6. Fix $r = 1$ for convenience. Let us denote by $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ the set of functions satisfying (1), (2) and (3) from the proof of Proposition A.2. Denote also by $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\rho$ the larger subset of functions that satisfy (1) and (2) but instead of (3) we impose the weaker criterion that

$$4\pi s - f'(s) > 0 \quad \text{for all } s \in [0,1]. \tag{A.21}$$

It follows from (A.14) that $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ is empty if $|\rho| > \pi$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\rho$ is empty if $|\rho| > 2\pi$.

Suppose $f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\rho$ for some $-2\pi < \rho < \pi$. Then the (non-trivial) translated points of the corresponding contactomorphism φ_f come in two flavours: firstly there are the points in $B(\varepsilon) \times S^1$ as before, but there are also now the points (s, ζ, τ) where $2\pi s = f'(s)$. In this case the time-shift is $f(s) - \frac{sf'(s)}{2}$.

Now suppose we are given a path

$$[0, 3\pi/2] \ni \rho \mapsto f_\rho \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{-\rho}, \tag{A.22}$$

with the property that there exists $0 < \rho_0 < \pi$ such that $f_\rho \in \mathcal{F}_{-\rho}$ for $0 \leq \rho \leq \rho_0$. We know that $c(\varphi_{f_\rho}) = \rho$ for $0 \leq \rho \leq \rho_0$, but for $\rho \geq \pi$ this is no longer the case as $c(B(1) \times S^1) = \pi$. We also know that the function $\rho \mapsto c(\varphi_{f_\rho})$ is continuous. From (A.19) and (A.20) this implies that there must exist some point ρ_1 such that $\rho_0 \leq \rho_1 < \pi$ and a point $s \in [0,1]$ such that $f'_{\rho_1}(s) = 2\pi s$ and such that $f_{\rho_1}(s) - \frac{1}{2}s^2 = -\rho_1$. Here is a direct proof of that assertion.

Proof. Consider the function $l : [\rho_0, 3\pi/2] \to [0,1]$ defined by

$$l(\rho) := \sup \left\{ s \in [0,1] \mid f'_\rho(s) \geq 2\pi s \right\}. \tag{A.23}$$

Note that the function l is not necessarily continuous (it may have jump discontinuities). Define

$$g(\rho) := f_\rho(l(\rho)) - \pi l(\rho)^2 + \rho. \tag{A.24}$$

The function g is also not necessarily continuous. However g is lower semicontinuous in the sense that if ρ_* is a point of discontinuity of g (and hence of l) then

$$\lim_{\rho \downarrow \rho_*} g(\rho) \leq \lim_{\rho \uparrow \rho_*} g(\rho). \tag{A.25}$$

Indeed, the point is that if $l^+(\rho_*) := \lim_{\rho \downarrow \rho_*} l(\rho)$ and $l^-(\rho_*) := \lim_{\rho \uparrow \rho_*} l(\rho)$ then $f'_\rho(s) < 2\pi s$ for $s \in (l^-(\rho_*), l^+(\rho_*))$, and hence

$$\int_{l^-(\rho_*)}^{l^+(\rho_*)} f'_\rho(s) ds \leq \int_{l^-(\rho_*)}^{l^+(\rho_*)} 2\pi s ds \tag{A.26}$$

which implies

$$\lim_{\rho \downarrow \rho_*} g(\rho) \leq \lim_{\rho \uparrow \rho_*} g(\rho). \tag{A.27}$$

Next note that

$$\rho = \int_0^1 f'_\rho(s) ds \leq \int_0^{l(\rho)} f'_\rho(s) ds + \int_{l(\rho)}^1 2\pi s ds = f_\rho(l(\rho)) + \rho + \pi - \pi l(\rho)^2, \tag{A.28}$$

and thus for $\rho > \pi$ one has $g(\rho) > 0$. For $\rho = \rho_0$ one has the strict inequality

$$\rho_0 = \int_0^1 f'_{\rho_0}(s) ds < \int_0^{l(\rho_0)} 2\pi s ds + \int_{l(\rho_0)}^1 f'_{\rho_0}(s) ds = \pi l(\rho_0)^2 - f_{\rho_0}(l(\rho_0)), \tag{A.29}$$

and hence $g(\rho_0) < 0$. Thus there exists $\rho_1 \in (\rho_0, \pi)$ such that $g(\rho_1) = 0$. □

References

[AF10] P. Albers and U. Frauenfelder, *Leaf-wise intersections and Rabinowitz Floer homology*, J. Topol. Anal. **2** (2010), no. 1, 77–98.

[AH] C. Abbas and H. Hofer, *Holomorphic curves and global questions in contact geometry*, To appear in Birkhäuser.

[AM13a] A. Abbondandolo and W. J. Merry, *A product structure on Rabinowitz Floer homology*, In preparation (2013).

[AM13b] P. Albers and W. J. Merry, *Translated points and Rabinowitz Floer homology*, to appear in J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2013).

[AS06] A. Abbondandolo and M. Schwarz, *On the Floer homology of cotangent bundles*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **59** (2006), 254–316.

[AS09] ———, *Estimates and computations in Rabinowitz-Floer homology*, J. Topol. Anal. **1** (2009), no. 4, 307–405.

[CF09] K. Cieliebak and U. Frauenfelder, *A Floer homology for exact contact embeddings*, Pacific J. Math. **239** (2009), no. 2, 216–251.

[CFO10] K. Cieliebak, U. Frauenfelder, and A. Oancea, *Rabinowitz Floer homology and symplectic homology*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **43** (2010), no. 6, 957–1015.

[CS12] V. Colin and S. Sandon, *The discriminant and oscillation lengths for contact and legendrian isotopies*, arXiv:1205.2102 (2012).

[EKP06] Y. Eliashberg, S.S. Kim, and L. Polterovich, *Geometry of contact transformations and domains: orderability versus squeezing*, Geom. Topol. **10** (2006), 1635–1747.

[EP00] Y. Eliashberg and L. Polterovich, *Partially ordered groups and geometry of contact transformations*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **10** (2000), no. 6, 1448–1476.

[FGS05] U. Frauenfelder, V. Ginzburg, and F. Schlenk, *Energy capacity inequalities via an action selector*, Geometry, spectral theory, groups, and dynamics, Contemp. Math., vol. 387, Amer. Math. Soc., 2005, pp. 129–152.

[FPR12] M. Fraser, L. Polterovich, and D. Rosen, *On Sandon-type metrics for contactomorphism groups*, arXiv:1207.3151 (2012).

[Fra04] U. Frauenfelder, *The Arnold-Givental conjecture and moment Floer homology*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **42** (2004), 2179–2269.

[FS07] U. Frauenfelder and F. Schlenk, *Hamiltonian dynamics on convex symplectic manifolds*, Isr. J. Math. **159** (2007), 1–56.

[HM12] U. Hryniewicz and L. Macarini, *Local contact homology and applications*, arXiv:1202.3122 (2012).

[HZ94] H. Hofer and E. Zehnder, *Symplectic invariants and Hamiltonian dynamics*, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts, vol. XIV, Birkhäuser, 1994.

[Iri11] K. Irie, *Hofer-Zehnder capacity of unit disc cotangent bundles and the loop product*, arXiv:1110:2244 (2011).

[MS98] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, 2 ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, 1998.

[Rit13] A. F. Ritter, *Topological quantum field theory structure on symplectic cohomology*, J. Topol. (2013).

[Ryb10] T Rybicki, *Commutators of Contactomorphisms*, Advances in Math. **225** (2010), 3291–3326.

[San10] S. Sandon, *An integer-valued bi-invariant metric on the group of contactomorphisms of* $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1$, J. Topol. Anal. **2** (2010), no. 3, 327–339.

[San11] ———, *Contact homology, capacity and non-squeezing in* $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1$ *via generating functions*, Ann Inst Fourier (Grenoble) **61** (2011), no. 1, 145–185.

[San12a] ———, *A Morse estimate for translated points of contactomorphisms of spheres and projective spaces*, Geometriae Dedicata (2012), 1–16.

[San12b] ———, *On iterated translated points for contactomorphisms of* $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ *and* $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1$, Internat. J. Math. **23** (2012), no. 2.

[Sch00] M. Schwarz, *On the action spectrum for closed symplectically aspherical manifolds*, Pacific J. Math. **193** (2000), no. 2, 419–461.

[Sei06] P. Seidel, *A biased view of symplectic cohomology*, Current Developments in Mathematics, Int. Press, 2006, pp. 211–253.

[SW06] D. Salamon and J. Weber, *Floer homology and the heat flow*, GAFA **16** (2006), 1050–1138.

[Vit96] C. Viterbo, *Functors and computations in Floer homology with applications, Part II*, Preprint (1996).
[Zap13] F. Zapolsky, *Geometry of Contactomorphism Groups, Contact Rigidity, and Contact Dynamics in Jet Spaces*, to appear in Int. Math. Res. Not. (2013).

Peter Albers, Mathematisches Institut, Westfälische Wilhelms – Universität Münster, Germany
E-mail address: `peter.albers@uni-muenster.de`

Will J. Merry, Department of Mathematics, ETH Zürich, Switzerland
E-mail address: `merry@math.ethz.ch`